1. Council Agenda

   Documents:

   AGENDA 11-01-16.PDF

2. Council Packet

   Documents:

   11-1-16 COUNCIL PACKET.PDF

**CITY OF YPSILANTI**
**REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING**
**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS – ONE SOUTH HURON ST.**
**YPSILANTI, MI 48197**
**TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2016**
**7:00 p.m.**

**I. CALL TO ORDER –**

**II. ROLL CALL –**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Anne Brown | P A | Council Member Robb | P A |
| Council Member Nicole Brown | P A | Council Member Vogt | P A |
| Council Member Murdock | P A | Mayor Edmonds | P A |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | P A | | |

**III. INVOCATION –**

**IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE –**

"I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

**V. INTRODUCTIONS –**

**VI. AGENDA APPROVAL –**

**VII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**VIII. REMARKS BY THE MAYOR –**

**IX. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING** –

1. Resolution No. 2016-247, approving Ordinance 1278, budget adjustments due to failed Water Street Millage on August 2, 2016.

**X. CONSENT AGENDA –** Resolution No. 2016-248

1. Resolution No. 2016-249, approving the minutes of September 20, 2016, October 4, 2016, October 11, 2016 meetings.
2. Resolution No. 2016-250, approving appointment to Boards and Commissions.

**XI. RESOLUTIONS/MOTIONS/DISCUSSIONS –**

1. Resolution No. 2016-251, approving the 2017 employee wellness program.
2. Resolution No. 2016-252, approving creation of a sustainability Commission.
3. Resolution No. 2016-253, approving employee health insurance premiums.

**XII. LIASON REPORTS –**

A. SEMCOG Update
B. Washtenaw Area Transportation Study
C. Urban County
D. Freight House
E. Parks and Recreation
F. Ypsilanti Downtown Development Authority
G. Eastern Washtenaw Safety Alliance
H. Police-Community Relations/Black Lives Matter Joint Task Force
I. Friends of Rutherford Pool

**XIII. COUNCIL PROPOSED BUSINESS –**

**XIV. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XV. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER** –

**XVI. COMMUNICATIONS –**

- November 5th Clerk's Office will be open for the purpose of absentee ballots (last day to request a ballot by mail).
- November 7th last day to request absentee ballot in person (no later than 4:00 p.m.).
- November 8th General Election.
- November 14th Council appointment meeting.
- November 15th Joint City Council and Ypsilanti Community Schools Board Meeting will be held at Ypsilanti International Elementary School (503 Oak St.)

**XVII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**XVIII. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XIX. ADJOURNMENT -**

Resolution No. 2016-154, adjourning the City Council meeting.

**CITY OF YPSILANTI**
**REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING**
**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS – ONE SOUTH HURON ST.**
**YPSILANTI, MI 48197**
**TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2016**
**7:00 p.m.**

**I. CALL TO ORDER –**

**II. ROLL CALL –**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Anne Brown | P A | Council Member Robb | P A |
| Council Member Nicole Brown | P A | Council Member Vogt | P A |
| Council Member Murdock | P A | Mayor Edmonds | P A |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | P A | | |

**III. INVOCATION –**

**IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE –**

"I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

**V. INTRODUCTIONS –**

**VI. AGENDA APPROVAL –**

**VII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**VIII. REMARKS BY THE MAYOR –**

**IX. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING** –

1. Resolution No. 2016-247, approving Ordinance 1278, budget adjustments due to failed Water Street Millage on August 2, 2016.

**X. CONSENT AGENDA –** Resolution No. 2016-248

1. Resolution No. 2016-249, approving the minutes of September 20, 2016, October 4, 2016, October 11, 2016 meetings.
2. Resolution No. 2016-250, approving appointment to Boards and Commissions.

**XI. RESOLUTIONS/MOTIONS/DISCUSSIONS –**

1. Resolution No. 2016-251, approving the 2017 employee wellness program.
2. Resolution No. 2016-252, approving creation of a sustainability Commission.
3. Resolution No. 2016-253, approving employee health insurance premiums.

**XII. LIASON REPORTS –**

A. SEMCOG Update
B. Washtenaw Area Transportation Study
C. Urban County
D. Freight House
E. Parks and Recreation
F. Ypsilanti Downtown Development Authority
G. Eastern Washtenaw Safety Alliance
H. Police-Community Relations/Black Lives Matter Joint Task Force
I. Friends of Rutherford Pool

**XIII. COUNCIL PROPOSED BUSINESS –**

**XIV. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XV. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER** –

**XVI. COMMUNICATIONS –**

- November 5th Clerk's Office will be open for the purpose of absentee ballots (last day to request a ballot by mail).
- November 7th last day to request absentee ballot in person (no later than 4:00 p.m.).
- November 8th General Election.
- November 14th Council appointment meeting.
- November 15th Joint City Council and Ypsilanti Community Schools Board Meeting will be held at Ypsilanti International Elementary School (503 Oak St.)

**XVII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**XVIII. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XIX. ADJOURNMENT -**

Resolution No. 2016-154, adjourning the City Council meeting.

Resolution No. 2016 - 247
November 1, 2016

BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS 2016-2017 AND 2017-2018
FISCAL YEARS OPERATING BUDGET

That the following budget adjustments due to failed Water Street Millage on August 2, 2016 be approved on Second and Final Reading:

| BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS DUE TO FAILED WATER STREET MILLAGE | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **DEPT** | **REV/EXP** | **DESCRIPTION** | **ACCOUNT #** | **FY 2016-17** | **FY 2017-18** |
| | | | | | |
| **GENERAL FUND** | | | | | |
| **Fund Balance Beginning** | | | | **$5,813,556** | **$5,028,453** |
| **General Fund Projected Deficit** | | | | **$(1,523,006)** | **$(645,474)** |
| | | | | | |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate 3 Police Officers (wages & Fringes) $65,855 per officer from the original budget of 32 to 29 | 101-7-3070-706 to 721-00 | 197,565 | 197,565 |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Records Clerk | 101-7-3050-706 to 714-05 | 24,500 | 24,500 |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Property Room Officer | 101-7-3070-706 to 714-05 | 32,717 | 32,717 |
| BLDG | Salaries & Fringes | Hired Building Inspector $53000/year plus FICA 10 mos. | 101-7-3710-706 to 721-00 | 5,628 | (2,632) |
| Planning | Salaries & Fringes | Suspend Planning Intern 50% FY 2016-17,100% FY 2017-18 | 101-7-7210-707-00 | 11,219 | 21,606 |
| Planning | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Historic District Commission intern | 101-7-8030-707-00 | 6,213 | 5,964 |
| Finance | Salaries & Fringes | Combined Accounts Payable and Finance Generalist | 101-7-1910-706 to 714-30 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Remove Human Resources Manager budget | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | 78,013 | 78,013 |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Human Resources Manager Contractual through 12/31/16 | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | (29,351) | - |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Hire Human Resources Generalist $42,500/year + fringe benefits | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | (31,175) | (62,350) |
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Assistant to City Manager wages and fringes through 7/27/16 | 101-7-1720-706 to 714-30 | (6,798) | - |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Assistant to City Manager | 101-7-1720-706 to 714-30 | 88,369 | 88,369 |
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Marketing Intern | 101-7-1720-707 to 714-05 | 12,403 | 14,331 |
| CM/HR | Salaries & Fringes | Combine Assistant City Manager & HR Managers' $63,000/year - 6 months plus fringe benefit | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | (46,409) | (92,818) |
| CLK/TRS | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate the 2nd Treasury/Clerk PT position | 101-7-2150-707 to 714-30 | 25,956 | 25,956 |
| Council | Membership | Eliminate Aerotropolis membership | 101-7-1010-958-00 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| POLICE | Capital | Delay construction of police carport | 414-7-2651-971-21 | 40,000 | - |
| POLICE | Capital | Delay purchase of gym equipment | 414-7-9370-987-40 | 10,000 | - |
| POLICE | SRO Revenue | Add service contract revenue for School Resource Officer (SRO) 10 months per year | 101-4-3070-676-02 | 76,535 | 76,535 |
| POLICE | Parking Revenue | Parking ticket revenues increase | 101-4-3110-656-00 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Building | Operating Cost | Reduce Telephone in Building Department | 101-7-3710-835-00 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Planning | Operating Cost | Reduce Professional Development | 101-7-7210-864-02 | 2,000 | 3,000 |
| Planning | Operating Cost | Add transportation assistance for intern | 101-7-7210-761-00 | (500) | (500) |
| Finance | Operating Cost | Reduce Professional Development | 101-7-1910-864-02 | 1,000 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce apparatus drain & grates | 101-7-2650-818-04 | 40,000 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce duct cleaning, exterior light, vent on mezzanine | 101-7-2650-818-04 | 6,500 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce SCBA budget | 414-7-9370-987-45 | 25,000 | - |
| DPS | Capital | Eliminate the garage bay exhaust system | 101-7-2650-818-03 | 25,000 | - |
| DPS | Capital | Delay east storage shed concrete pad | 101-7-2650-818-03 | - | 15,000 |
| DPS | Capital | Delay north pole barn concrete pad | 101-7-2650-818-03 | - | 20,000 |
| 4th floor | Capital | Eliminate carpet replacement | 101-7-2650-818-02 | 6,000 | - |
| PCC | Eliminate Subsidy | Eliminate Parkridge Community Center Subsidy ($60,208-$11,000-$10,809) | 101-7-7520-706 to 932-00 | 38,219 | 38,219 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CLK/TRS | Revenue | Increase parking Permit fees | 101-4-2530-462-00 | | 18,000 |
| Bldg | Revenue | Institute business C of O license process | 101-4-3710-461-08 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| CM | Contribution | Add one time contribution to HSHV for animal control | 101-7-1721-841-00 | (10,000) | |
| City Council | Conferences | Reduce budget from $4,000 to $2,600 for FY 2016-17 & from $4,000 to $2,300 FY 2017-18 | 101-7-1010-864-01 | 1,400 | 1,700 |
| Senior Center | Contribution | Eliminate subsidy in FY 2017-18 | 101-7-2651-999-02 | | 10,000 |
| Rutherford Pool | Contribution | Eliminate subsidy in FY 2017-18 | 101-7-2651-999-03 | | 10,000 |
| | | | | | |
| **Total Adjustments** | | | | **737,904** | **631,075** |
| **Adjusted Deficit** | | | | **$(785,102)** | **$(14,399)** |
| **General Fund Projected Ending Fund Balance** | | | | **$5,028,453** | **$5,014,054** |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Motor Pool Fund** | | | | | |
| **Fund Balance Beginning** | | | | **$1,789,340** | **$1,416,278** |
| **Fund Projected Deficit** | | | | **$(453,062)** | **$(513,029)** |
| | | | | | |
| Police | Capital | Delay purchase of police car | 641-7-9330-987-10 | 80,000 | |
| Env. Serv. | Capital | Delay packer truck to replace V#609 | 641-7-9350-987-10 | | 150,000 |
| | | | | | |
| **Total Adjustments** | | | | **80,000** | **150,000** |
| **Adjusted Deficit** | | | | **$ (373,062)** | **$ (363,029)** |
| **Motor Pool Fund Projected Ending Fund Balance** | | | | **$1,416,278** | **$1,053,249** |

S:\BUDGET 2016-2017\Budget Adjustments\BUDGET RESOLUTION 11-1-16 -ADJUSTMENTS DUE TO FAILED WATER STREET MILLAGE .docx

# BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS DUE TO FAILED WATER STREET MILLAGE

## 11/1/2016

| DEPT | Rev/Exp | DESCRIPTION | ACCOUNT # | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **GENERAL FUND** | | | | |
| | | **Fund Balance Beginning** | | **$ 8,184,183** | **$ 5,813,556** | **$ 5,028,453** |
| | | **General Fund Projected Deficit** | | **$ (2,370,627)** | **$ (1,523,006)** | **$ (645,474)** |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate 3 Police Officers (wages & Fringes) $65,855 per officer from the original budget of 32 to 29 | 101-7-3070-706 to 721-00 | | 197,565 | 197,565 |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | eliminate Records Clerk | 101-7-3050-706 to 714-05 | | 24,500 | 24,500 |
| POLICE | Salaries & Fringes | eliminate Property Room officer | 101-7-3070-706 to 714-05 | | 32,717 | 32,717 |
| BLDG | Salaries & Fringes | Hired Building Inspector $53000/yr plus Fica 10 mos. | 101-7-3710-706 to 721-00 | | 5,628 | (2,632) |
| Planning | Salaries & Fringes | Suspend Planning Intern 50% fy 2016-17, 100% FY 2017-18 | 101-7-7210-707-00 | | 11,219 | 21,606 |
| Planning | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Historic District Commission intern | 101-7-8030-707-00 | | 6,213 | 5,964 |
| Finance | Salaries & Fringes | Combined Accounts Payable and Finance Generalist | 101-7-1910-706 to 714-30 | | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Remove Human Resources Manager budget | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | | 78,013 | 78,013 |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Human Resources Manager Contractual through 12/31/16 | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | | (29,351) | - |
| HR | Salaries & Fringes | Hire Human Resources Generalist $42,500/yr + fringe benefits | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | | (31,175) | (62,350) |
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Assistant to City Manager wages & fringes through 7/27/16 | 101-7-1720-706 to 714-30 | | (6,798) | - |
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Assistant to City Manager budgeted wages & fringes | 101-7-1720-706 to 714-30 | | 88,369 | 88,369 |
| CM | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate Marketing Intern wages & FICA | 101-7-1720-707 to 714-05 | | 12,403 | 14,331 |
| CM/HR | Salaries & Fringes | Combine Assistant City Manager & Human Resources Manager's position $63,000/yr 6 months plus fringe benefit | 101-7-2700-706 to 714-28 | | (46,409) | (92,818) |
| CLERK/TRS | Salaries & Fringes | Eliminate the 2nd Treasury/Clerk PT position | 101-7-2150-706 to 714-30 | | 25,956 | 25,956 |
| Council | Membership | Eliminate Aerotropolis membership | 101-7-1010-958-00 | | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| POLICE | Capital | Delay construction of police carport | 414-7-2651-971-21 | | 40,000 | - |
| POLICE | Capital | Delay purchase of gym equipment | 414-7-9370-987-40 | | 10,000 | - |
| POLICE | SRO Revenue | Add service contract revenue for School Resource Officer (SRO) 10 months per year | 101-4-3070-676-02 | | 76,535 | 76,535 |
| POLICE | Parking Revenue | Parking ticket revenues increase | 101-4-3110-656-00 | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Building | Operating Cost | Reduce Telephone in Building Department | 101-7-3710-853-00 | | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Planning | Operating Cost | Reduce Professional Development | 101-7-7210-864-02 | | 2,000 | 3,000 |
| Planning | Operating Cost | Add transportation assistance for intern | 101-7-7210-761-00 | | (500) | (500) |
| Finance | Operating Cost | Reduce Professional Development | 101-7-1910-864-02 | | 1,000 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce apparatus drain & grates | 101-7-2650-818-04 | | 40,000 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce duct cleaning, exterior light, vent on mezzanine | 101-7-2650-818-04 | | 6,500 | - |
| Fire | Capital | Reduce SCBA budget | 414-7-9370-987-45 | | 25,000 | - |
| DPS | Capital | Eliminate the garage bay exhaust system | 101-7-2650-818-03 | | 25,000 | - |
| DPS | Capital | Delay east storage shed concrete pad | 101-7-2650-818-03 | | - | 15,000 |
| DPS | Capital | Delay north pole barn concrete pad | 101-7-2650-818-03 | | - | 20,000 |
| 4th floor | Capital | Eliminate carpet replacement | 101-7-2650-818-02 | | 6,000 | - |
| PCC | Eliminate Subsidy | Eliminate Parkridge Community Center Subsidy ($60,028-$10,809-11,000) | 101-4-7520-653-04 | | 38,219 | 38,219 |
| CLK/TRS | Revenue | Increase parking Permit fees | 101-4-2530-462-00 | | | 18,000 |
| Bldg | Revenue | Institute business C of O license process | 101-4-3710-461-08 | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| CM | Contribution | Add one time contribution to HSHV for animal control | 101-7-1721-841-00 | | (10,000) | |
| City | Conferences | Reduce budget from $4,000 to $2,600 for FY 2016-17 & from $4,000 to $2,300 FY 2017-18 | 101-7-1010-864-01 | | 1,400 | 1,700 |
| Senior | Contribution | Eliminate subsidy in FY 2017-18 | 101-7-2651-999-02 | | | 10,000 |
| Rutherford | Contribution | Eliminate subsidy in FY 2017-18 | 101-7-2651-999-03 | | | 10,000 |
| | | **Total Ajustments** | | **-** | **737,904** | **631,075** |
| | | **Adjusted Deficit** | | **$ (2,370,627)** | **$ (785,102)** | **$ (14,399)** |
| | | **General Fund Projected Ending Fund Balance** | | **$ 5,813,556** | **$ 5,028,453** | **$ 5,014,054** |
| | | **Motorpool Fund** | | | | |
| | | **Fund Balance Beginning** | | | **$ 1,789,340** | **$ 1,416,278** |
| | | **Fund Projected Deficit** | | | **$ (453,062)** | **$ (513,029)** |
| Police | Capital | Delay purchase of police car | 641-7-9330-987-10 | | 80,000 | |
| Env. Serv. | Capital | Delay Packer Truck to replace V#609 | 641-7-9350-987-10 | | | 150,000 |
| | | **Total Ajustments** | | **-** | **80,000** | **150,000** |
| | | **Adjusted Deficit** | | | **$ (373,062)** | **$ (363,029)** |
| | | **Motorpool Fund Projected Ending Fund Balance** | | **$ 1,789,340** | **$ 1,416,278** | **$ 1,053,249** |

S:\BUDGET 2016-2017\Budget Adjustments\[BUDGET ADJUSTMENT FY 2016-2017 11-1-16.xlsx]BUDGET ADJ 11-1-2016

10/26/16 2:53 PM

**CITY OF YPSILANTI**
**GENERAL FUND BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS**
**FY 16-17 & FY 17-18**

| Account Name | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2016-17 | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2017-18 |
|---|---|---|
| FB, APPROPRIATION | 785,102 | 14,399 |
| FIRE & POLICE PENSION | | |
| REV | | |
| Taxes-Fire and Police Pension | 2,266,796 | 2,320,814 |
| **REV Total** | **2,266,796** | **2,320,814** |
| EXP | | |
| Pension Contribution | (2,266,797) | (2,320,814) |
| **EXP Total** | **(2,266,797)** | **(2,320,814)** |
| **FIRE & POLICE PENSION Total** | **(1)** | **0** |
| DEBT SERVICE | | |
| EXP | | |
| Transfer to GO Debt Service | (904,386) | (908,166) |
| **EXP Total** | **(904,386)** | **(908,166)** |
| **DEBT SERVICE Total** | **(904,386)** | **(908,166)** |
| OPERATING | | |
| REV | | |
| Taxes | 5,965,375 | 6,005,808 |
| Inter Governmental Revenue | 2,606,567 | 2,665,898 |
| Grants | 571,199 | 408,590 |
| Licenses and Permits | 740,960 | 756,560 |
| Charges for Services | 232,000 | 224,500 |
| Sale of Property | 0 | 75,000 |
| Fines & Forfeits | 240,000 | 240,000 |
| Other Revenue | 509,939 | 473,054 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **REV Total** | **10,866,040** | **10,849,410** |
| EXP | | |
| Salaries and Wages | (4,731,270) | (4,507,275) |
| Overtime | (363,200) | (383,200) |
| Fringe Benefits | (573,559) | (555,434) |
| Health Insurance | (999,081) | (1,044,279) |
| Pension Contribution-MERS | (37,470) | (36,150) |
| Professional Development | (64,900) | (61,800) |
| Contractual Services | (1,521,599) | (1,252,488) |
| Contribution | (44,500) | (14,500) |
| Membership and Dues | (31,732) | (31,732) |
| Office Supplies | (95,025) | (83,025) |
| OPEB Contribution | (515,219) | (515,219) |
| Operating Expenses | (528,610) | (531,180) |
| Utilities | (523,000) | (524,000) |
| Equipment | 0 | 0 |
| MTT and Charge Backs | (49,000) | (49,000) |
| **EXP Total** | **(10,078,165)** | **(9,589,282)** |
| **OPERATING Total** | **787,875** | **1,260,128** |

| Account Name | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2016-17 | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2017-18 |
|---|---|---|
| OPERATING-NUTRITION | | |
| REV | | |
| Grants | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| **REV Total** | **7,500** | **7,500** |
| EXP | | |
| Salaries and Wages | (5,892) | (5,892) |
| Fringe Benefits | (539) | (539) |
| Contractual Services | (300) | (300) |
| Operating Expenses | (769) | (769) |
| Utilities | 0 | 0 |
| **EXP Total** | **(7,500)** | **(7,500)** |
| **OPERATING-NUTRITION Total** | **0** | **0** |
| OPERATING-PARKRIDGE | | |
| REV | | |
| Other Revenue | 49,219 | 49,219 |
| **REV Total** | **49,219** | **49,219** |
| EXP | | |
| Salaries and Wages | (26,440) | (26,440) |
| Fringe Benefits | (2,420) | (2,420) |
| Contractual Services | (600) | (600) |
| Office Supplies | (130) | (130) |
| Operating Expenses | (8,820) | (8,820) |
| Utilities | (21,618) | (21,618) |
| **EXP Total** | **(60,028)** | **(60,028)** |
| **OPERATING-PARKRIDGE Total** | **(10,809)** | **(10,809)** |
| OPERATING-RUTHERFORD POOL | | |
| REV | | |
| Other Revenue | 50,180 | 50,229 |
| **REV Total** | **50,180** | **50,229** |
| EXP | | |
| Salaries and Wages | (42,662) | (42,662) |
| Fringe Benefits | (3,904) | (3,904) |
| Contractual Services | (3,408) | (3,458) |
| Operating Expenses | (205) | (205) |
| **EXP Total** | **(50,179)** | **(50,229)** |
| **OPERATING-RUTHERFORD POOL Total** | **1** | **0** |

| Account Name | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2016-17 | ADJUSTED BUDGET FY 2017-18 |
|---|---|---|
| OPERATING-SENIOR CENTER | | |
| REV | | |
| Other Revenue | 44,853 | 44,853 |
| **REV Total** | **44,853** | **44,853** |
| EXP | | |
| Salaries and Wages | (24,067) | (24,067) |
| Fringe Benefits | (2,202) | (2,202) |
| Contractual Services | (1,000) | (1,000) |
| Operating Expenses | (9,570) | (9,570) |
| Utilities | (16,328) | (16,328) |
| **EXP Total** | **(53,167)** | **(53,167)** |
| **OPERATING-SENIOR CENTER Total** | **(8,314)** | **(8,314)** |
| TRANSFER TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT | (497,968) | (275,738) |
| TRANSFER TO GARBAGE FUND | (23,000) | (23,000) |
| TRANSFER TO WATER ST | (128,500) | (48,500) |
| **Grand Total** | **0** | **0** |

| **FUND BALANCE** | | |
|---|---|---|
| BEGINNING FUND BALANCE | 5,813,556 | 5,028,454 |
| ESTIMATED REVENUES | 13,284,588 | 13,322,025 |
| ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES | (14,069,690) | (13,336,424) |
| **ESTIMATED ENDING FUND BALANCE** | **5,028,454** | **5,014,055** |

S:\BUDGET 2016-2017\Budget Adjustments\[GF SUMMARY WITH BUDGET ADJUSTMENT FY 2016-2017 11.1.16.xlsx]GF ADJUSTMENTS

| PARKRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTER | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET | | | | |
| FY 2015-16, FY 2016-17, AND FY 2017-18 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **ACCOUNT #** | **DESCRIPTION** | **ACTUAL 2015-2016** | **ORIGINAL BUDGET 2016-2017** | **ORIGINAL BUDGET 2017-2018** |
| | | | | |
| **REVENUES:** | | | | |
| 101-4-7520-653-04 | PARKRIDGE REVENUE | - | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| | **TOTAL REVENUES** | **-** | **11,000** | **11,000** |
| | | | | |
| **EXPENDITURES:** | | | | |
| 101-7-7520-707-00 | TEMPORARY WAGES | 21,550 | 26,440 | 26,440 |
| 101-7-7520-714-02 | WORKERS COMPENSATION | 328 | 397 | 397 |
| 101-7-7520-714-05 | SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE | 1,649 | 2,023 | 2,023 |
| 101-7-7520-728-00 | OFFICE SUPPLIES | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| 101-7-7520-757-00 | OPERATING SUPPLIES | 3,654 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 101-7-7520-761-00 | TRAVEL | 2,520 | 2,520 | 2,520 |
| 101-7-7520-818-00 | CONTRACTUAL SUPPLIES | 15 | 600 | 600 |
| 101-7-7520-853-00 | TELEPHONE | 4,934 | 4,800 | 4,800 |
| 101-7-7520-920-00 | PUBLIC UTILITIES | 10,098 | 11,250 | 11,250 |
| 101-7-7520-932-00 | JANITORIAL SERVICE | 9,591 | 10,368 | 10,368 |
| | **TOTAL EXPENDITURES** | **54,469** | **60,028** | **60,028** |
| | REVENUE OVER (UNDER EXPENDITURES | (54,469) | (49,028) | (49,028) |
| | LESS: 50% CITY SHARE OF UTILITIES & JANITORIAL SERVICES | | 10,809 | 10,809 |
| | **NET EXPENSES OF PARKRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTER-AMOUNT REQUIRED TO BE FUNDED BY PCC** | **(54,469)** | **(38,219)** | **(38,219)** |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | S:\BUDGET 2016-2017\Budget Adjustments\[PCC BUDGET FOR 11-1-16 COUNCIL PACKET.xlsx]PCC BUDGET | | | |
| | 10/26/16 3:11 PM | | | |

**PARKRIDGE COMMUNITY CENTER**
**BUILDING MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT # 101-7-2650-818-06**
**FY 2015-2016**

| DESCRIPTION | AMOUNT |
|---|---|
| FIXED LIGHT AT PCC | 310 |
| INSTALL THERMOSTAT AT PCC | 213 |
| CONSTRUCT PCC OUTDOOR STORAGE | 13,300 |
| REPAIR PCC ROOF LEAK | 252 |
| REPAIR PCC TWO DOUBLE DOORS | 2,425 |
| PCC SAFETY GLASS | 179 |
| **TOTAL PCC BUILDING MAINTENANCE** | **16,679** |

S:\BUDGET 2016-2017\Budget Adjustments\[PCC BUILDING MAINTENANCE FY 2015-16.xlsx]PCC FY 2015-16 MAINTENANCE
10/26/16 3:09 PM

Resolution No. 2016-248
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

That the following items be approved:

1. Resolution No. 2016-249, approving the minutes of September 20, 2016, October 4, 2016, and October 11, 2016 meetings.
2. Resolution No. 2016-250, approving appointment to Boards and Commissions.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY: _____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

Resolution No. 2016 – 249
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

THAT the minutes of September 20, 2016, October 4, 2016, and October 11, 2016 be approved.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY:_____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

***Proposed***

**CITY OF YPSILANTI**
**REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING**
**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS – ONE SOUTH HURON ST.**
**YPSILANTI, MI 48197**
**TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2016**
**7:00 p.m.**

**I. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u> – Meeting called to order at 7:00 PM**

**II. <u>ROLL CALL</u> –**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Anne Brown | Present | Council Member Robb | Present |
| Council Member Nicole Brown | Present | Council Member Vogt | Present |
| Council Member Murdock | Present | Mayor Edmonds | Present |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Present | | |

**III. <u>INVOCATION</u> – Mayor Edmonds asked everyone to stand for a moment of silence**

**IV. <u>PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</u> –**

"I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

**V. <u>INTRODUCTIONS</u> –**

**Mayor Edmonds introduced City Staff, Interim Manager Frances McMullan, Interim Clerk Andrew Hellenga, City Attorneys John Barr and Dan Duschene, Director of Economic Development, Beth Ernat, Bonnie Wessler and Cynthia Kochanek, city planners, DPS Director Stan Kirton, Fire Chief Max Anthouard, Markus Macklemore, Treasurer Kim Teamer, Rhonda Walker, Clerk's office.**

**VI. <u>AGENDA APPROVAL</u> –**

**Moved by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson seconded by Council Member Vogt**

**The Agenda is approved**

**VII. <u>AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION</u> –**

**Mayor Edmonds Opens Audience Participation**

1. **Bob K 706 Dwight St.** Regarding road diets - this has been studied by MDOT – now they need to push on it . Potentially good things will happen if this is passed.

2. **Dave Strenski, 232 Oak St**. Referenced the solar project being presented tonight. Thinks it's a great project. Found a company that will lease us the equipment and pass the federal tax credit on to us.

3. **Ms. Melvin 401 West Michigan Ave** - wants to thank City Council for getting things done over at Town Center. There are few items missing that are important to the tenants; mold and mildew in the apartments, heating unit filters need to be replaced, intercom problem in the lobby, people cannot contact help in the building, TV in the community room needs to be fixed,

windows need to be sealed for winter, the heat units are linking, the laundry units need to be ordered, mildew and mold on the laundry room walls, every 2 to 3 years there is a fire, the staircase no longer has an alarm and people can walk in and out with no issue. Once a year, the Fire Inspector will teach what to do in case of a fire but does not fix it.

4. **George Wallace 301 S. Hamilton**, In attendance to discuss the resolution in support of non-motorized crossing on I-94. Second Baptist Church is on Hamilton Street, the church does understand the need for a pedestrian crossing on I-94, but they believe the narrowing of the lanes on Hamilton Street will increase congestion. There is a lot of traffic coming off of 94 and it would be an issue for people to get in and out of the traffic. We would hope that the traffic lights are set up so that traffic can flow freely.

5. **John Delcamp, 309 Oak St**. allowing historic district commission to be deputized and be allowed to issue 300 dollar fines for failure to comply, would be their opinion as to what's an historic transgression or not. I have not gone before the historic district for various reasons; felt the people on the commission made their decisions subjectively. We already have a system set up where people can be fined for their neglect. To deputize people who can give you a fine for a subjective point of view, I would not pay the fine if given to me but would hire a lawyer.

6. **Ms. Melke, Chidester Ct**, was in attendance to discuss ramps on Prospect. It is her opinion that are putting in three where only one is required.

7. **Matt Ouenbrook, 1213 S. Congress St**. Asked that council to go back to the 2013 amendment and ordinance capping the amount of growth and dispensing licenses available. Would encourage allowing more business licenses for growth facility. Feels as if the money from the cannabis industry coming to Michigan could be coming to Ypsilanti.

8. **Ann Stevenson, 707 Collegewood** - Stated that the historic district supports the beauty of the City of Ypsilanti and it is vital for City Council to support the district for the continued survival of these properties. We are not deputized and meet every month to discuss the development plan to ensure we are following the federal guidelines.

**Mayor Edmonds closed audience participation**

Mayor Edmonds stated she appreciates Ms. Melvin coming forward and bringing these issues to the attention of the people and the council. The city is working every day towards compliance for building requirements and permits. We continue to work closely with the Governor to help enforce these things. Working with the legal counsel with legal services in south east Michigan who are represent the citizens. Residents received notice their carpet was being replaced, but they would have to move their furniture, and if they couldn't, they would be charged. Staff is trying to find other political pressure that could come from the state. WE are working on a number of levels on the codes and other bodies.

Secondly, she wants to make note about Ms. Melke and the law suit the City is facing about the consent contract but the City does not have the ability to make this choice right now. This is why it was tabled last council meeting.

**VIII. <u>REMARKS BY THE MAYOR</u> –**

**IX. <u>PRESENTATIONS</u>** –

- Resolution No. 2016-222, Fight Against Racism. – Council Member Nicole Brown

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown seconded by Council Member Vogt**

Council Member Nicole Brown stated she would like to make more comments. EMU is her school and it is a community she holds near and dear to her heart. Painful these acts are happening in our yard, and hopes that those who did these acts are caught. It increases conversations about this on campus to eliminate it in our community. We work really hard on these issues and this is a few steps back for us and it hurts that it happened.

Council Member Vogt says he has zero tolerance for this type of this attitude or actions and even less tolerance for comments he saw on mlive. He feels that kind of behavior to be grossly immoral and can hardly talk about it when it happens but can think of no better purpose to spend time in this government body to fight this.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution No. 2016-222 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

— Huron River Watershed Coalition – Healthy Fisheries. (Presentation Found on Website)

A question was asked about the rocks and whether one can be moved to get a little better water flow. Anita Dalia says that we have had a recent dry spell and when it starts raining, the water should start flowing again. Ms. Melvin asks if they would be putting any animals in the river since she hasn't seen any of them in years. Ms. Dalia responded that they are not putting animals in but with the restorations, they are hoping that the animals will begin to return to the river. She then asked if she is allowed to take questions from the audience and Mayor Edmonds responded that while they normally do not do that, she feelt it would be OK.

Mayor Edmonds stated she had one question and it's something she discussed with HRWC partners. She asked about the signage where people fish and eat, and while there are recommendations about which fish people can eat, they do not know that. This is a basic public health issue. Ms. Dalia they have they can add the information on the signs and the DNR just came out with a new study on the effects of fish.

**X. <u>PUBLIC HEARING</u> –**

1. Approving the closure of the public alley, described as the north-south alley in the block bounded by Oak, Prospect, Maple, and River west from Prospect, are closed to through traffic via the installation of a physical barrier.

   A. Resolution No. 2016-207, determination

**Moved by Council Member Murdock, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Ms. Wessler stated that the City received an application from the neighbors that surround the area, to close the stretch of the alley between Oak Street and Maple Street. They are concerned about through traffic and the speed. They are worried about the children in the area.

B. Open public hearing

1. **Michael Kauzer -** all four neighbors have agreed to close off the alley. They feel it would be a good thing since children live there. There have been high speed police chases through there.

2. **Troy Abraham 324 Oak St**. Here to offer support to pass this resolution. This alley is a 100% safety issue to him since his family has small children. He would rather not see people fly up and down the alley by his home.

C. Resolution No. 2016-208, close public hearing

**Moved by Council Member Murdock, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Council member Robb says he doesn't like passing resolution without any specifics in them. He would really like to narrow down why the alley would be closed. He understands what the neighbors are saying but those four neighbors aren't going to own those parcels forever. Wants council to change the wording to be more specific so that council is actually passing something tonight.

Ms. Wessler stated that generally speaking, if there is no preference from the residents, we just split it like we split the streets right down the middle. Mr. Kauzer stated that he has a carriage house which is at the back of is an easement. Mr. Kauzer says he'd have to drive all the way around to get to the back of his property. Troy said that he's okay for it not to be on the property line. Council Member Robb says this is more about zoning and they are trying not to create more issues in the future if they aren't the land owners.

Mayor Edmonds says that she agreed that they generally split it in the middle but because of alleys, that kind of thing happens. She added that she understands Council Member Robb's concerns but she's not worried about it. Council Member Murdock stated that there is an easement from one property to the next, but that's the line, so what's the difference. Council Member Robb said we just need to make the alley closing specific and if it's at the easement, it should go into the resolution. Council Member Murdock says that if that's what people want, it then it should be put in the resolution. Council Member Vogt says that both council members have made a point that this is not ready for vote unless we have something more concrete. He says he thinks he knows what Council Member Robb has in mind and remembers the last alley closing, but he has no clue what they are talking about and where they need to be. Council Member Robb says that Mr. Barr needs to weigh in on this with the language. Mayor Edmonds asked Mr. Barr to weigh in and he responded that while it is descriptive, there isn't a second for the motion yet.

**Council Member Murdock moved to place the barrier 15 feet northeast side of the property line at 323 Oak St. Seconded by Council Member Anne Brown.**

Council Member Vogt asked someone to point it out on the map. Mr. Kauzer pointed out where the easement is and where the barrier would go. Mayor Pro-Tem asked again where they are putting the barrier. Mr. Kauzer says it's just north of the carriage house. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked for confirmation that it is not at the end of the alley but in the middle, to which Mr. Kauzer agreed?

On a roll call, the vote to amend resolution No. 2016-207 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

Mayor Edmond asked Council if there is anything else that should be said about this resolution. Since there were no comments, On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution No. 2016-222 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XI. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING –

*Ordinance No.* 1276

1. Adopting an ordinance to prohibit coal tar sealants in the City of Ypsilanti, to be new City Code Section 94-3

A. Resolution No. 2016-209, determination

**Moved by Council Member Anne Brown, supported by Council Member Murdock**

Mr. Barr began by saying that several days ago, the Washtenaw Watershed gave a presentation on coal tar sealants. What this does is prevent the use of these harmful sealants on City properties.

B. Open public hearing

1. **Anita Dalia 1492 Ann Arbor**, stated that this is another alternative to products that cover asphalt that are reasonably priced. Many townships that have adopted this ordinance and are working with state on it.

C. Resolution No. 2016-210, close public hearing

**Offered by Council member Anne Brown, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Mayor Edmonds asked how we will inform the public that this is no longer a substance that can be used on their property. Council Member Robb responded that we can use the fertilizer broacher. Council Member Anne Brown added that we can go possibly through the Building Department. Mayor Edmonds asked if resealing the driveway is something that needs to go through the Building Department. She asked Manager McMullan to make a communication plan so we know who we need to send it out to. Manager McMullan responded that we can use Facebook or public media and do a notice. She also stated that putting it in a broacher as Council Member Robb said is a good idea. Mayor Edmonds asked if we can put it with the water bill since that goes out to homeowners and Manager McMullan responded that we should be able to do this.

Council Member Murdock asked if Ypsi Township has done this ordinance. It was stated that the City of Ann Arbor has.

Council Member Robb asks Mr. Barr if we can add items to ordinances and then void them after they become law. Mr. Barr responded that it is possible but you have to include a sunset prevention. Council Member Robb asked if we can do that for the second reading and Mr. Barr responded that it is within council's power. Mayor Edmonds stated she would rather not have that be an issue, as it may be countered by state legislation. Council Member Murdock stated that in the past, we have had an agreement which went through issues with state not agreeing, which we then appealed but state changed their mind so it is his opinion that there is no reason to have a sunset. Council Member Vogt says he agrees with Council Member Murdock and should leave it on the book.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution No. 2016-209 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

*Ordinance No.* 1277

2. Approving an Ordinance entitled "Thompson Block East Portion Rezoning" the rezoning for the east 0.2 acres of property addressed as 400 N. River from CN-SF, Core Neighborhood Single Family, to C, Center.

A. Resolution No. 2016-211, determination

**Moved by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Ms. Wessler stated that this a proposed rezoning of the rear portion of 400 N River from CN-SF to C-Center. CN-SF is a core neighborhood single family; c-center is the downtown business designation. When we were going through Shape Ypsi master plan, we also had a Planned Unit Development underway at this location that has since stalled out. The rezoning is being proposed to facilitate future redevelopment.

B. Open public hearing

None

C. Resolution No. 2016-212, close public hearing

**Moved by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Councilmember Murdock noted that he remembers that the rear portion of the lot was not in the DDA before, and wonders if it is now by virtue of the lot combination. Ms. Ernat and Mr Barr responded that they would look into it.

Councilmember Robb noted that this is one of the most unique parcels in the city with the downtown business district, allowing such things as bars directly adjacent to single-family zoning, and inquired as to the potential future use of this east portion separate from the west portion of the lot. Wessler responded that due to the requirements of development on the west portion of the parcel, the only practical use of the east portion would be to serve the west portion.

Councilmember Robb asked about other zoning possibilities, such as zoning the west portion of the lot CN-SF, changing the ordinance to allow PUDs in the CN-SF district, and simply pursuing a PUD on the entirety of the lot. Wessler responded that other properties in the CN-SF, such as the Highscope Institute, would likely also be interested in pursuing that option to expand their existing operations. He further asked about what zoning would do in the event that the Thompson Block building was unsalvageable, and asked staff's opinion on what would be the recommendation if the building were not present. Wessler responded that the recommendation for the central business zoning would remain the same, as it would still serve to define the Depot Town neighborhood.

Mayor Edmonds voiced support for the rezoning, given the City's status as a Redevelopment Ready Community, and appreciated the comprehensive recommendation of Planning Commission and staff.

B. Resolution No. 2016-212, close public hearing

**Moved by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Councilmember Murdock noted that he remembers that the rear portion of the lot was not in the DDA before, and wonders if it is now by virtue of the lot combination. Ms. Ernat and Mr Barr responded that they would look into it.

Councilmember Robb noted that this is one of the most unique parcels in the city with the downtown business district, allowing such things as bars directly adjacent to single-family zoning, and inquired as to the potential future use of this east portion separate from the west portion of the lot. Wessler responded that due to the requirements of development on the west portion of the parcel, the only practical use of the east portion would be to serve the west portion.

Councilmember Robb asked about other zoning possibilities, such as zoning the west portion of the lot CN-SF, changing the ordinance to allow PUDs in the CN-SF district, and simply pursuing a PUD on the entirety of the lot. Wessler responded that other properties in the CN-SF, such as the Highscope Institute, would likely also be interested in pursuing that option to expand their existing operations. He further asked about what zoning would do in the event that the Thompson Block building was unsalvageable, and asked staff's opinion on what would be the recommendation if the building were not present. Wessler responded that the recommendation for the central business zoning would remain the same, as it would still serve to define the Depot Town neighborhood.

Mayor Edmonds voiced support for the rezoning, given the City's status as a Redevelopment Ready Community, and appreciated the comprehensive recommendation of Planning Commission and staff.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution No. 2016-212 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XII. **ORDINANCE - SECOND READING** –

*Ordinance No.* 1275

1. RESOLUTION NO. 2016-213, APPROVING AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND YPSILANTI CITY CODE CHAPTER 54 "HISTORICAL PRESERVATION", ARTICLE II "HISTORIC DISTRICTS", DIVISION 1 "GENERALLY", SECTION 54-43 REGARDING PENALTIES AND DIVISION 4 "CONSTRUCTION, ALTERATION OR MAINTENANCE", SECTION 54-85 "DEMOLOLITION BY NEGLECT" SO THAT VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 54-81 "PERMIT REQUIRED" AND SECTION 54-85 "DEMOLITION BY NEGLECT" ARE BLIGHT VIOLATIONS.

**Moved by Council Member Vogt, supported by Council Member Nicole Brown**

Ms. Ernat began by clarifying public comments made earlier about the concern of the HDC being deputized, which is completely unfounded. The ordinance change is for the current system to use the local AHB versus going to circuit court for any and every complaint. No one is being deputized; it's where we file the ticket. We are not looking to go to circuit court as it is timely and costly to both the City and the person and we want to keep everything local and we are trying to make this more enforceable. Ms. Kochanek is now doing double duty as associate planner and HDC.

Council Member Murdock stated he's a little confused. As it stands now, the building permit is in HDC - you go to the HDC and they do whatever they do and they issue a permit saying you follow what they recommend. He asked if the enforcement on that is the Building Department, to which Ms. Ernat responded in the affirmative. Council Member Murdock went on to ask if something isn't done. then is it the Building Department that enforces it and Ms. Ernat responded it would be the Building Department that would enforce this permit, if it is not completed, the Building Department would have to issue an civil complaint ticket. As it stands now, it would go to circuit court as a civil infraction. By making this ordinance change, the Building Department would make it so that this goes to the AHB. Council Member Murdock says if the Building Department is expecting the permit then they don't finalize the inspection? Ms. Ernat stated it would but what enforcement mechanism would they use? She stated that the building code would allow the replacement the HD regulation does not. Council Member Murdock added the Building Inspector is our agent and we should incorporate the historic district into our building code. Ms. Ernat says they wouldn't be able to do that for the building code. She says we are not allowed to make that change to the building code.

Council member Murdock says we have made changes to the building code before. Ms. Ernat says we can't go against the state building code. Council Member Murdock says that this is something that he wanted to happen for a lot of reasons, he added that the question is what does it do and if there is any different way to do it and why do we have to do it this way. Ms. Ernat said that they looked into several different ways and through Mr. O'Jack, City Attorney, this is the most efficient way they could keep it local and was not able to add it to the building code; They were advised by the Chief Building Inspector.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked if a person can opt out of the Historic Emblem. Mayor Edmonds says the emblem is separate. Ms. Ernat says the historic sign is a different issue. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated even if it's their house they own the property they are not allowed. Mayor Edmonds added that if you're in the district, you are in the district. Ms. Ernat says it it is no different than zoning. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated that doesn't hinder one making changes that are affordable. Mayor Edmonds stated interior changes are not regulated, but exterior changes are.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution No. 2016-213 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | No | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 5 NO: 2 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**XIII. <u>CONSENT AGENDA</u> –** Resolution No. 2016-214

1. Resolution No. 2016-214A, approving the School Resource Officer Contract.
2. Resolution No. 2016-215, approving the minutes of August 23, 2016 meeting.
3. Resolution No. 2016-216, approving delegate and alternate to the Municipal Employees' Retirement System (MERS) 70th Annual Meeting.
4. Resolution No. 2016-217, approving appointments to City Boards and Commissions.

**Moved by Council Member Robb, seconded by Council Member Vogt**

On a roll call, the vote to approve consent agenda was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XIV. RESOLUTIONS/MOTIONS/DISCUSSIONS –

1. Resolution No. 2016-200, approving Contract with Doan Construction, Inc. for Sidewalk Ramp Replacement Program. **(Tabled September 6, 2016)**

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Mr. Duchene began by saying this was before council before and it was tabled to give the City some time to request some reduction for the amount of work for Prospect we reached out to the plaintiffs and the plaintiff was not open to reduction of work as proposed by the City Council. Council Member Murdock says we weren't trying to do a reduction of work but wanted to do other areas. Mr. Duchene says this was communicated to them, but they still said no. Council Member Murdock asked if we are still doing the ones on the list from last time, we're doing all the ones from Prospect road as well as the others. Mr. Duchene says yes the work that is proposed. Council Member Murdock says that the spent a lot of time talking about Cornell Road, it was supposed to be our next big project, but we reduced that down to doing basically the urgent road work. If you go down Cornell there are actually no curb cuts or walk ways going across Cornell. His thought was that possibly we could add some of those curb cuts and have nothing to do with the ABA thing and to get crosswalks on Cornell to create a traffic com which doesn't exist now. Mr. Duchene says he agrees with Council Member Murdock's intent. Mayor Edmonds says are you suggesting we amend what's on the table so that we can add other things to it. Mr. Duchene asks if he just asking about adding on Cornell, he says that if we work on the corner we have to complete that corner. If we put a ramp on Cornell we need a ramp going to the side street.

Council Member Murdock says he's just trying to get some ADA ramps. Mr. Duchene says that we need to do it right without stop gaps. Mr. Kirton says that with 16 ramps you're talking 4 grand easily, where is the money going come from. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asks if it's absolutely if we have to do Prospect now, can we do the cut on another street where they need to be done, instead of specifically on Prospect. Mr. Kirton says yes. Mr. Duchene says there are other corners that need to be done, he says that was the proposal we presented to the plaintiff and they said no. Council Member Murdock says what he is suggesting now is that they got a very good price for doing this. Mr. Duchene says it would be best if we had the engineers look at it before we moved forward.

Marcus says that the contract would be subjective to additional work and secondly we're setting up a work session so we can bring that up in that discussion. He says we can take a look at it and make some suggestions and talk about it with Council. Mayor Edmonds says that we tabled this specifically so we can go back to find out if there is any flexibility, the answer was no and we need to look at other possibilities in the Oct 11 Road session. Council Member Murdock says he wasn't trying to link these to the ADA ramps, Collegewood and Roosevelt there are stop signs but no stop lines, if nothing else happens I would hope we could do that. Mayor Edmonds says if there is anything else anyone would like Mr. Kirton or Marcus to look at please have it to them no later than the 30th.

On a roll call, the vote to approve Resolution No. 2016-200 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 6 NO: 1 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

2. Resolution No. 2016-218, reaffirming support for the Non-motorized crossing of I-94 and Lane Reduction of Hamilton, Huron, and Washtenaw.

**Moved by Council Member Murdock supported by Council Member Nicole Brown**

Ms. Wessler says this is not a new action but a resolution of support. Towards the end of 2015 there was a breakdown of communication between us and MDOT when it was communicated we were not interested in these projects. This resolution just reaffirms that we are interested in continuing with the studies.

Mayor Edmonds says this isn't signing us up for some specific plan or piece, it's just saying that yes we think there are issues along that stretch, but we are not taking away any lanes. Council Member Murdock says that the modeling is still being done. Ms. Wessler says that we may have to engage with another consultant for the lane reduction. Council Member Murdock says there are a lot of issues with MDOT and the trunk state line to two lanes and a massive under taking, several issues with Cross Street and W. Cross with walkability. Also timing with ADA with when they are going to do their resurfacing. He says that some of these will all be on conjunction. Council Member Anne Brown said when they voted they wanted staff to discuss with second Baptist, has there been any feedback? Ms. Wessler says that once this gets going they are going to contact them for a special session. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she's whole heartedly agree with Pastor Wallace, the hours from 3-6 is almost impassible and it can take as long as 20 to 30 minutes to get to the other side. She says she always felt they needed proper pedestrian crossing for 94 and when traffic isn't slowed down people do drive fast and accidents do happen. Even with the bike lane the delays on Michigan Ave is long. She says people take different routes in the morning and could in the evening if they could. She says something needs to be done but putting in bike lanes is not the answer, she says we just have a lot of cars on the road. She was talking to someone last week and if a family has a mother and father and 3 children that's driving age there might just be 5 cars at that house.

Mayor Edmonds says this resolution is just saying that we need to get moving again.

On a roll call, the vote to approve 2016-218 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

Council Member Anne Brown asks Ms. Wessler if this would include the pedestrian crossing. Ms. Wessler says this project doesn't include that because this project will be 2022 and we want to finish the others before that.

3. Resolution No. 2016-219, extending the completion and certificate of occupancy deadline until March 2018 for the complete renovation of 13 norths Washington for the development of Back Office Studios.

**Moved by Council Member Anne Brown, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Ms. Ernat starts by introducing Sim Cho from Back office studios, this was discussed at the last meeting and the packet lays out the delays.

Mayor Edmonds says that she would support this either way but because the owners are downtown everyday not because of that project but because they work for SPARK East it isn't hard to find where they are and what they are doing every day. These are already people well integrated the downtown area who is going bring back a long vacant project.

Council Member Robb asks how did this happen, who was supposed to be doing this and why didn't it happen. Ms. Ernat says we have new project management software that popped up after this and we will flag these projects so that we can continue to follow them. Council Member Robb says his next question is for Mr. Barr says he wants to approve this but wants to know that we are extending something that is 7 weeks late, his question is how we can extend something that is expired. Mr. Barr says the according to City Charter all the power is in this body so the short answer is yes you can amend it. Council Member Robb then says so what we did last November was meaningless because no one followed it. His concern is if it is extended then the resolution was made meaningless. Ms. Ernat says she wants to address this in the sense that she will take full responsibility for not tracking projects but in this case the person has been in full contact with them telling us their delays. When we're not in contact with people that were our tool to say now we have the chance to revoke this. She says she would disagree that it's meaningless as it provides us a mechanism to pull our support. Council Member Robb says no what we did in October was meaningless.

Mayor Edmonds asks him to clarify. He says in October we passed this saying that to receive the tax benefits you have to do certain things, those things weren't done 7 weeks later and now we're just no extending it. Everything we do we set precedence. Mayor Edmonds says she fully disagrees as we granted them an OPRA, as some pieces haven't moved forward as if they should, but they have stayed in touch about it and this is exactly the reason why we have this tool and ability to amend this tool. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she remembers that we have extended this same kind of scenario in the past, one of the things that makes a difference is that staff is saying that it wasn't just ignored, but they did keep in touch with them.

Council Member Anne Brown says she believes council member Robb would have appreciated that in the 7 weeks council received more of an update. Council Member Robb says we have never extended and OPRA that is not a true statement we have

never done that, he says he guess if he could ask one thing would be could they do something about the partially reconstructed wood. Ms. Ernat says no as the OPRA was a piece of the CRB funding and that work cannot be completed until its approved, if it is completed beforehand it is no longer eligible. Council Member Robb asks why that was started if you weren't OPRA ready. Mr. Cho says they were OPRA ready when it started but because the com around the area is so low it cannot meet the SBA and get approved and they still want to invest in the community and put their own house up for collateral and had to restart an application. SBA is not happy about this and wants them refinance and they are currently in that phase, Chase told them 6 to 8 weeks two weeks ago.

Mayor Edmonds says that with these projects there are people who can tell you when to start and stop. Council Member Robb says he understands that but why are we giving them 18 months at this point when we gave them 9 before. Ms. Ernat says that at that point they were funded and prepared to move forward however at the final underwriting and issue came up that stopped the project. Council Member Robb asks if the state has the OPRA. Ms. Ernat says the state has the OPRA but has not approved it yet.

Council Member Murdock says the OPRA is granted right. Ms. Ernat says it's approved its not in effect as doesn't go into effect until the CRB is approved and construction commences.

On a roll call, the vote to approve Resolution NO. 2016-219 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

4. Resolution No. 2016-220, approving the installation of solar paneling on the Fire Station Roof.

**Moved by Council Member Vogt, supported by Council Member Nicole Brown**

Chief Anthouard says the project was about two years ago when it started. The project will hit three goals, reduce cost, reduce the emission, and using some renewable energy, very large system that is going to be placed on the roof, by far the largest in the city as far on the building. It will produce 50K of energy which is 72% of the consumption of the fire department and will cover about little more than half the surface area of the roof. He says he doesn't need to convince the Council of the installation itself.

Mayor Edmonds says it makes sense and those who can't take the tax credit can take it. And we will be able to expand it to more non-profit organizations for the savings. Council member Vogt says this is an excellent use of City money you are leveraging the

20,000 donation and the tax credit which would be of no use to anyone. It's a tremendous result and saving for tax payers. Council Member Murdock says the pay back is going to be about 5 ½ years. He says he thinks it's a great project and this is our biggest undertaking for solar and it's well worth the expansion. Mayor Edmonds says she would note that DTE is being slow on activating systems once they get approved.

On a roll call, the vote to approve Resolution NO. 2016-220 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**XV. <u>LIASON REPORTS</u> –**

A. SEMCOG Update
   a. Meet Friday- doesn't know if they have a meeting since the tour
B. Washtenaw Area Transportation Study
   a. Meetings tomorrow
C. Urban County
   a. Met two weeks ago, not a lot to report bought up discussion on land bank
D. Freight House
   a. Slowly approaching the certificate of occupancy envisions is just about done. Couple of more things to do
E. Parks and Recreation
   a. Didn't attend last meeting trail town meeting scheduled for next Friday, Friends of Peninsular park will meet Monday, send draft bylaws to Mr. Barr for the Senior Center
F. Ypsilanti Downtown Development Authority
   a. no update
G. Eastern Washtenaw Safety Alliance
   a. Sending out a schedule
H. Police-Community Relations/Black Lives Matter Joint Task Force
   a. At last meeting subcommittee looking at forming citizen review came back with recommendation for mission and values and next steps, to include City of Ypsilanti and EMU
I. Friends of Rutherford Pool
   a. Two Saturdays ago met at city hall for strategic planning for the next five years for Rutherford pool and will be presented at next meeting to determine next steps

**XIII. <u>COUNCIL PROPOSED BUSINESS</u> –**

**Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson-** The flower pots in downtown this year was terrible and they just didn't pop, it was nothing there. That was something else I noticed on the tour, but they had their flower pots along the street. Ours left a lot to be desired this year. At the convention last week there were really a lot of good things that were presented one that came out of the presentation that was done at the women in government, the speaker was in Ferndale. They have fantastic things going on and we need to sit down and talk with them to find out how they

did it. They did something with health care in which they no longer have to pay health care. She goes on to say they are doing innovative things.

She wants to mention the fact that there is a human trafficking house on Madison Street and the Chief has been doing some tracking on it. The neighbors are very concerned about it. This needs to be taken care of.

Chief DeGiusti says the park associations meeting we had this discussion again. It's hard to get feds to work with them. Have had police car parked outside of house for 2 weeks no one has come or gone since then. He also says the EMU student's presentation was great.

**Council Member Vogt-** Interim City Manager sent a right of road email and trying to pin down a map or description that shows if superior is anywhere in the limits. Manager McMullan says Mr. Kirton seems to think it is. Council Member Vogt says the reason being is to determine is Superior should be involved in the truck route.

**Council Member Murdock-**Was going to ask for an update on the Town Center after the final inspection on the 26th. At the last meeting the Mayor said we would get a list of committee vacancies but that never arrived. Mayor Edmonds says she and Clerk Hellenga discussed that the other day and he was reaching out to other departments. She asks him to look at the word format that McMullan use.

Council Member Murdock and Robb are having a town meeting at the freight house. Friday friends of Prospect Park are having a meeting to dew the playground equipment.

**Council Member Nicole Brown**- reports she gave

**Council Member Anne Brown**- House on Roosevelt the man is still living in there the Sheriff was supposed to show up. Mr. Barr answered by stating that we went to court, a receiver was appointed, took over the house, has evicted the non-complaint owner and is selling the house.

Council Member Murdock says 203 Summit has been falling apart for years and asks if there is anything about that. Ms. Ernat explains that the property in question the owner has been notified several times and we are in the process of preparing the property complaint to go into circuit court.

Council Member Anne Brown asks for an update on the train stop. Ms. Ernat says we have been in contact with OHM and we are trying to get hard and dead timelines from MDOT and AMTRAK to enact public meetings to discuss the time line, and get the presentation prepared for council better decimated to the public.

Council Member Anne Brown says that Detroit just celebrated their DTE Solar Field so is there any plans for Ypsi. Manager McMullan says they wanted us to release our press releases together and DTE wanted to spear head that and Ms. Wessler was on hold about that.

**Council Member Robb**- Next meeting can we have a presentation on parking, want to understand how many tickets we're looking at when Duncan turns them over and what we've done with them in the interim and how many of those tickets have now expired. Dearborn has handheld devices connected to Clemence and when someone gets more tickets that have allowed the towing is called. Does the City have handheld devices such as this? Chief DeGiusti says they are connected but do not have the ability to tell you when it's 6 or more. Council Member Robb says we used to have that. McMullan says when we switched over we received new receivers.

Mayor Edmonds says we can look at this in the future. Council Member Robb says if we don't have a list we aren't turning anything over to the secretary of state office. Manager McMullan says that when we just got clearance we found out that currently it was only allowed through the police department so we are looking to remove some of those names off of there. She says we have access and authorization to look but no authority to place. Council Member Robb asks are we doing collection right now. Manager McMullan says we can only do collections based on our system and we were going to send to collective, but we were stopped and told we had to send it to the 14 A District Court. Manager McMullan says we can find out if we have tickets over 90 days, it's not true that we're not collecting we have sent them the letter. Council Member Robb says so after 90 days no one is paying tickets, he asks Ms. Uy if she can send month by month tickets to council. He asks if we appeal who does it go through us or the court house. It is answered the Court house. He asks if when we switched to them two years ago, they sent us money, so we are getting the same thing. Do they tell us what tickets are getting paid?

Manager McMullan says no. Manager McMullan says to talk about the revenue we're doing good and it would be more equivalent if we had Duncan. Council Member Anne Brown says she has a letter that was for a ticket in 2009. McMullan says it was generated here; we initiated the collection process for all of the old stuff that was done. Council Member Anne Brown says that we didn't know until that letter was sent in. Council Member Robb asks if we just given up on the Duncan. Manager McMullan says that we got all the tickets from the data from Duncan. Council Member Robb says if people don't voluntarily give us money we just don't receive money. He says we have no idea why they send us money. Manager McMullan says 14 A does not tell us what they collect money for. Council Member Robb says we have no way of doing collection no way of knowing how much anyone has paid or who has paid and there is no other way to know unless the Court says we waved them. Chief DeGiusti says even when Duncan had it there was no way to tell who was making the payment. Council Member Robb says that even if it comes through collection we have no idea who paid. Manager McMullan says we actually preferred to use a collection agency, but we were stopped the Attorney said it was a state law.

**Moved to extend by council Member Vogt, seconded by Council Member Nicole Brown**

**XIV. <u>COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR</u> –**

**Mayor Edmonds-** had the chance to represent Ypsi at the American Legion with the Police and Fire Chief and several others and she appreciates all the others. We also had the chance to hear from Herman Kettle. She asks if Manager McMullan can follow up on the school districts building the bus garage. Something she mentioned back in spring was the budgetary hosted by the league of women voters. Something all over the country has used. Had a little time to go up to MML convention early and met with the former mayor of Ypsi from the 70s.

Nominations

**<u>Zoning Board of Appeals</u>**

Franciso Garcia – **(Alternate)**
306 N. Adams #3
Exp: September 2019

**XV. <u>COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER</u>** –

Manager McMullan just wanted to remind council about the Forum MML City Manager Search. Invitation is coming out, and people have been contacted though several said they are not able to make it due to scheduling. She says she too attended the MML Convention and found out a lot of Cities are much like Ypsi. She said she did enjoy the place making secessions and this time they were very motivational. There were a lot suggestions and encouraged us to stop looking for outsiders to come in and bail us out.

Mr. Barr says that there was article in the paper that many communities on the East Side of the state is suing the state because they claim the state of Michigan have deprived local government of about 2 billion dollars in state shared revenue over the years. Another article that said that wages of City employees have increased about 1/3 of the federal state and county government the state is not sending the money down until City cannot pay adequate wages to their employees. He says Ypsilanti is ahead of the curve. The thing that was done tonight was allowing the HD to go down to the hearing bureau which will save money in the long run.

Manager McMullan says she received a call from Suzanne Pauley and they are donating 500 parking meters with no cost to us.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says the law suit Mr. Barr spoke about was on the MML agenda and they are looking for other municipalities to work with them on this. She says she believes that this should be on the agenda and we should get Tony from the League to come do a presentation and look to see if we want to get on the law suit.

**XVI. COMMUNICATIONS –**

- October 4th Council Meeting at E.M.U. – McKenny Hall Ballroom
- October 11th Special Meeting – Working Session on Roads (7:00 p.m.)
- November 15th Joint City Council and Ypsilanti Community Schools Board Meeting will be held at Ypsilanti International Elementary School (503 Oak St.)

**XVII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**XVIII. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XIX. ADJOURNMENT -**

Resolution No. 2016-221, adjourned the City Council meeting.

**Motion by Council Member Nicole Brown, seconded by Council Member Vogt**

***Proposed***

**CITY OF YPSILANTI**
**COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA**
**EASTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY – MCKENNY HALL BALLROOM**
**YPSILANTI, MI 48197**
**TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2016**
**7:00 P.M.**

**I. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u> – Meeting called to order at 7:00 PM**

**II. <u>ROLL CALL</u> –**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Anne Brown | P | Council Member Robb | P |
| Council Member Nicole Brown | P | Council Member Vogt | P |
| Council Member Murdock | P | Mayor Edmonds | P |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | P | | |

**III. <u>INVOCATION</u> – Mayor Edmonds asked everyone who can to stand for a moment of silence**

**IV. <u>PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</u> –**

"I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

**V. <u>AGENDA APPROVAL</u> –**

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, Seconded by Council Member Anne Brown**

**VI. <u>INTRODUCTIONS</u> –**

**Mayor Edmonds introduced Interim City Manager McMullan, Interim City Clerk Hellenga, City Attorney Mr. Barr, Finance Director Marilou Uy, Economic Development Director Beth Ernat, Police Chief DeGiusti, Fire Chief Anthouard, DDA Director Joe Meyers, DPS Director Stan Kirton, HR Manager Tarina Myris, Housing Commission Director Zach Fosler, Anthony Williamson, Marge Preston, Markron Jackson, Russ Oswell, and Chief Haze**

Russ Oswell thanked the City and Mayor and Community for the help and support over the last two weeks for the vigil and forum. He continued by stating that having the Council on campus provides a sense of community to the students in a broader sense. He added that EMU has many projects that they partner with the City and Community and thanked the students for coming out. Student government has been an important part of EMU this year. He finished by thanking everyone for coming out.

Interim City Clerk Hellenga reminded everyone that Oct 11 is the last day to register for the November election. To register, you can go to your local clerk's office; IDs to be used to vote is driver's license, state ID, school ID, tribal and military ID. He invited anyone who is interested in becoming an election inspector to see him at City Hall at 1 South Huron. Clerk Hellenga added they are open the Saturday before the Election Day and can also request an absentee ballot. There is a new polling place at the Honor's College for Ward 2-4 and Ward 3-1

## VII. PRESENTATIONS –

## VIII. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –

**Valerie Brannon, 318 Washtenaw** - Ypsilanti Housing Commissioner. Regarding resolution 2016-233, they are pushing to hire minority employees and contractors. Their Assistant Director has been going to meetings in an effort to release data and be as transparent as possible. They have sent data ahead of time, but are uncomfortable releasing names, race, and other information without their permission.

**Pearlen Johnson- 132 Bell Street** - has lived in Ypsi since 1956 wants to know about the contamination. She stated that when she first moved to the site, the dump was there but it has been gone now for years having been closed down. She is just now hearing about the contamination.

**Sherisha Lamar- 123 Bell Street –** She is concerned about the zoning in that neighborhood. The dump was there for over 50 years. They did not put it there and if it is contaminated, it should be cleaned up. She is concerned about the character and preservation of their neighborhood.

**Michael Simmons 128 Bills Street** - First of all, he is appalled that he had to learn from a newspaper that there is contamination there. They have been fighting against the City to take care of their responsibility on that property for 20 years. He can't understand why council didn't have a community meeting to communicate with everyone. If there is contamination on that property, then the City has shirked their responsibility. He wants to know what is really going on about this. He is upset the City is trying to relocate people without getting their consent or telling them what has happened. He is appalled that the city can make a decision about a residential area without consulting the residents. He wants Council to immediately to get with residents to find out what to do next.

**Carter Simmons, has lived on Bell Street the longest** – He stated that at one time, it was used to dump dead horses and pigs. What makes him angry is that the City will tax people for not cutting their grass, but won't even take care of their own property. When they cut the trash across the street, which comes from the dispensary, they try to charge him for doing that. It's a headache for our community, but no one lives there. Police do not come over to them. When the City was trying to take Mr. King's property, there were signs for no parking; now that the property is taken, the signs are gone. He is upset that the City won't take responsibility for their properties. It's a disgrace that the area is contaminated.

**William Breadener, Student at Eastern** –He attended this meeting to mention resolution 2016-232 because he wasn't aware that there was a contamination issue in Ypsilanti. He works with the EPA and finds environmental issues interesting and asked if the MDEQ have looked into brownfield or superfund designation with the EPA, or looked for responsible parties to help fund any resources or actions that need to be taken. He is asking the City to support the transit authority. Ypsi is great but there is a transportation issue; other cities have transit systems that make them more appealing.

**William Simmons, Eastern Wayne County** – His family has been in Ypsilanti all their lives as well as some council members. He finds it appalling that they had to read in the paper about dislocation of people on Bell Street. Many of these people have been there all their lives with their houses paid for. The city needs to get this right because there's a storm coming. If council doesn't get it right now they won't get it right later as people are going to be watching them.

**Mayor Edmond closes Audience Participation**

Mayor Edmonds agreed with everything everyone has said about Bell Street and the council asked staff to make a presentation to explain everything that happened. She wants to understand if the City is responsible; some citizens said they wanted the option to move. She also said that there are zoning issues because now some people can't sell their houses and get mortgages. Some residents have been told they can't sell because of the contamination. How much will it cost to clean up? We need to know everything about the contamination; is it affecting residents directly or indirectly? It is a really active issue and we need input for residents. The community outreach meeting was a state-of- state for the residents to tell them what is going on and discuss it.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she is really happy to see people from Bell Street this evening. She hasn't been there recently but has been down there to help work on the situation because it is an eyesore. She is a resident of the City and used to work across the street and the dump has always been an eyesore smells bad and a trouble spot for the community. Even although she was away for a while, she began to hear some of the things that were dumped in there. She asked staff for a meeting about it, but staff doesn't have a solid plan yet; she says she will meet with the residents whenever they are ready.

Council Member Anne Brown referred about the Simmons family who she knows have lived there for the longest time. She agrees that the entire council needs to meet with the community. Mayor Edmonds said that she asked staff to prepare a report for a community meeting.

**IX. <u>REMARKS BY THE MAYOR</u> –**

**X. <u>ORDINANCES - FIRST READING</u>** –

*Ordinance 1278*

**1.** Adopting budget adjustments due to failed Water Street Millage on August 2, 2016 be approved on First Reading

**Moved by Council Member Anne Brown, supported by Council member Nicole Brown**

A. Resolution No. 2016-223, determination
B. Open public hearing

Close Public Hearing

C. Resolution No. 2016-224, close public hearing

Manager McMullan explained how the Water Street amendment failed on August second. Staff presented Council with ideas on what could be cut that would help the budget due to the failed water street millage. Department heads reviewed their budgets and came together to present to city council a list of budget adjustments. Council has reviewed a total cost saving of $852,285, leaving the deficit at $670,000 for FY16-17 and FY 17-18 with a surplus of $34,000. This is prior to council being directed to go back and review what was presented and bring back their suggestions. This will be the discussion this evening for council to deliberate the FY16-17 budget adjustments. She then asked if she should go over what was proposed. The cost reduction relied on deferring the capital projects leaving positions vacant, eliminating

positions, which included the following: three police officers, records clerk, property manager clerk, planning intern, HD intern, part-time position in clerk's office, elimination of the media intern, the HR manager and Assistant City Manager, which would end in a combination of the Assistant City manager and HR Manager as well as the addition of a HR generalist. This would work short term but is not a sustainable solution, however, it was determined that the capital projects slated to be delayed can only be delayed for so long. We decided that we needed to get a new normal for the City and not offer the same level of service as we have in the past. We proposed some revenue enhancements, one of them being street light assessments, sale of city owned properties, and adding additional parking. These were some of the things we thought might give the city long term substantial revenue. Ultimately, City Council will decide if we are to bring the Water Street millage to the people at a later date.

Mayor Edmonds asked for Chief DeGiusti to talk about the cuts in the Police Department. Chief DeGiusti began by explaining that these are positions that are not filled. It would not create layoffs; it would increase pressure on the clerical staff and will need overtime. Mayor Edmonds asked the impacts on community policing. DeGiusti responded that we have the DDA officer and school resource officer, which is funded by the school for the most part. There are community policing pieces but council is correct in that we have fewer officers on the street and the follow up required in certain cases because we have fewer detectives, is time consuming and time is a factor. Council Member Anne Brown asked if there are any opportunities in relations with the Sheriff and Eastern in terms of patrolling. DeGiusti responded that has been sustained and we really haven't stopped it . Eastern Washtenaw Safety Alliance is still alive. Council Member Anne Brown asked if you could use that relationship to lessen the strain on officers on call. Chief DeGiusti responded that this is not really a viable solution as the cars being used by the Sheriff Department are contracted out. They are more than willing to help us when we need it; they did cross patrols over the summer, but as far as day-to-day, it's not feasible. Mayor Edmonds asked how the cuts affect the property room and records clerk. DeGiusti responded that the records clerk does a lot of things, making sure the records are right as well as freedom of information work and she is pretty overwhelmed most of the time. When she needs to go on vacation, there is no one to back her on that. It falls on the Admin Assistant who has to do both jobs.

Council Member Murdock asked about the 29 officers and DeGiusti responded that one was on family leave and the other was serving. DeGiusti added that this comes up from time to time; currently, one officer is deployed and another officer is on family leave; another officer was injured and will be off on medical. This causes overtime issues because there is really only 26, which compounds the issue of having overtime and moving days off and canceling vacation. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked that when the records clerk is on vacation, if we could possibly put a slight budget addition on for a temp to come in at that time. DeGiusti says that there is a lot of confidential information and to bring someone in for a week to do that job is ineffective. Council Member Robb says that reducing by three, we have unbalanced shifts. DeGiusti disagreed adding that we only have 2 detectives right now who handle the harshest cases; everything else is handled by the officers from start to finish. Council Member Robb asked the number of people

we have on road patrol, to which DeGiusti responded, five people for each shift without taking from the detective. With 32 people, they would have had extra people for other places. If there are fewer detectives, then road officers have to do detective work rather than being on the road making it less efficient. Council Member Robb asked when we start to see retirements. DeGiusti responded that we have many people in the drop system with Lt. Eberts who is 3 ½ years out; there are several who have not entered the drop program.

**Council Member Murdock moved to reopen the public hearing, Council Member Anne Brown Supports it**

1. **Bill Welsh 700 Cambridge St**. He voted in favor of the millage; the millage vote took place at 5 pm on a Friday afternoon for the most part. He does not understand why there wasn't more of an effort to educate the public on the ramifications of this and not reopen it in November when there is a larger turnout - that is a mistake! We are talking about big cuts and restructuring a town. He repeated a rumor he heard said by the former city manager that the citizens need to feel some pain before they come around to supporting this millage. He says he feels like they are being bullied and wondered why weren't they were perfectly clear about the full extent of these cuts instead of saying city services will be cut. It seems like it's too late now.

**Council member Anne Brown moved to close the public hearing, Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson supports it**

Mayor Edmonds stated that several council members went knocking on doors trying to educate people. August was the first time they could have an election following the spring time. Council decided to put the millage on the first immediate ballot. Council Member Vogt added that with respect to Mr. Welsh comments, every City Council for ten years has been explaining to citizens what the problems are. State has illegally refused to share money with the cities' statute amount, which is approximately our annual deficit, and instead, gave money to political cronies. The recession caused such a drop in property tax values that we are in a structural permanent tax cut - we cannot get that back simply by new construction. State has cut personal property tax and we still get shorted on $100,000 per year because of fire protection at the University. Potentially, any of those could have solved our problems without even worrying about Water Street; in fact, it could have helped us with Water Street. On the other hand, people have told us they don't want more tax, they want us to make more cuts. This is a democracy so we're trying their approach to see how we can do, cut a little further to see what works. So when we do go back, people will be able to see we did what the majority wanted and if we succeed, we will get close, but not close enough. The Police Chief is doing the best he can but the reality is the effective police force is half of what it was ten years ago. There are just a massive number of problems. In terms of the City Council not doing enough, City Council has been explaining this to the public for the last ten years. We need to cut further and show them the outcome will be exactly what we said it would be.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated that regarding salary and wages, she noted that the plan is to combine Assistant to the City Manager and HR Manager at $63,000 plus fringe benefits. She thought they had eliminated the Assistant City Manager position. Mayor Edmonds stated it's eliminating Assistant to the City Manager and combining both

positions. Ms. McMullan stated that the the former City Manager laid out some plans and it was her understanding on an interim basis she was not to change that. He planned a combination of Assistant City Manager and HR Manager and presented documentation on this, hence the reason it has not been eliminated. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says this would be a new position but Mayor Edmonds stated it would be comparable to when April McGrath was employed; she was Deputy City Manager but also did HR work. Council Member Murdock reiterated that we have a vacancy in HR and Assistant and we are proposing to combine them. The new City Manager will make that appointment or suggest something different; in the meantime, they will stay vacant. Mayor Anne Brown says it doesn't look like elimination but a combination. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson agreed with Council Member Murdock that this should be a call for the New Manager. Council Member Anne Brown added that we should keep the HR position since it is a contract position then let the new City Manager make that decision, but also extend this contract through the first quarter. McMullan says the contract expires December 31st so we will have to come back to visit that. Council Member Murdock added that we're talking about the new Manager coming in December or January but until the New Manager can make that decision, we're looking at merging those positions in theory.

Mayor Edmonds says that Ms. Grinzinger from MML is going to send her an updated timeline on where they are on the City Manager position and informed Ms. Grinzinger she wants is someone in place by the end of the first quarter.

Council Member Anne Brown had a question about the second treasurer/clerk part time position. It was suggested that if there were two clerks in that position; one would be going upstairs to Accounting and one would be made full time. McMullan clarified that this was not the suggestion. She said it had been recommended that the accounting/treasury/clerk position be eliminated, leaving one part-time treasurer technician as before. She also clarified that the person who would be eliminated will fill the open part-time position in the Accounting Department. Further, Ms. McMullan stated that the clerk election duties are non-union, and a temporary would be hired to take on those duties following the same procedure as has been done in the past. Council member Anne Brown asked if there were two part time individuals and we are just asking to eliminate one, to which, Ms. McMullan responded in the affirmative. Mayor Edmonds question why are there are positions in the clerk office that are non-union and Ms. McMullan responded that there was an election clerk prior to her tenure that was a union member and that position was eliminated under former City Manager Koryzno as part of his solvency plan. She stated that during her entire nine years, there has not been a clerk union position.

Council Member Vogt asked why the line item costs in the building inspection division had increased. Ms. Ernat responded that a position had been added which increases certain personnel line items but that the overall inspection budget had not increased as the position is funded but additional revenues generated by the position.

Council Member Murdock stated that this is our first attempt to get things under control and work toward a balanced budget. He said what are doing is not filling a lot of vacant positions and delaying some capital

projects that will still have to be completed in the future. Council member Murdock referred to the document he provided earlier in the day and stated he wanted to discuss three amendments on the expenditure side. He went on to suggest that City Council conference budget be rolled back to the FY15-16 level, saving $1000 in FY16-17 and $1700 in FY17-18. He said the second amendment is to remove the Stewart Trust system and the last is to eliminate the subsidy for Parkridge, the Senior Center, and the Rutherford Pool.

Council Member Murdock stated he would like all one-time sales removed from the budget such as the Boys and Girls Club property and the old city landfill. He said he would like to see a comprehensive plan for parking before consideration of paid parking in Depot Town, and would like to see a preliminary vote on the street light assessment before those numbers are included in the budget.

**Council Conference Amendment moved by Council Member Murdock, supported by Council Member Nicole Brown**

Discussion: Council Member Anne Brown asked if that will be revisited in 2017-2018 or is it for both. Council Member Murdock responded that it's for both. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she would rather see it cut in half than go down to $1700 since she was told that it would only be reduced to $2300.

On a roll call, the vote to approve the amendment for Council Conferences was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**Council Member Murdock moved to remove the Subsidy for the Rutherford pool and Senior Center in 2017-18, seconded by Council Member Vogt**

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated we should keep in mind that when we cut the subsidy from the Senior Center, Pool and Parkridge these are our buildings and does not affect the buildings. When the City doesn't pay utilities, it puts the buildings in danger. While she understands we want to cut money for three of them, we have to take care of the buildings. Council Member Murdock explained that the money that we spend for the maintenance for the buildings is not the $10,000; this was extra money we did in the last year only. Council member Vogt says he reluctantly supports this but this is one of the things we warned about if the ballot proposal fails. He does not want to do this, but it's necessary and we simply do not have a choice. Council Member Anne Brown asked if the elimination from Parkridge is $60,000 or $11,000., to which Ms. McMullan responded it is $49,000. Council Member Anne Brown asked if there has been any conversation with WCC or Mr. Barfield, to which Ms. McMullan responded we have not seen a contract. Mayor Edmonds asked Council Member Nicole Brown as a member of the Rutherford Pool Board what the implications are of this cut. It was the opinion of Council

Member Nicole Brown that by not supporting our local service, it is a disservice to those community members. With regard to the pool, they have worked on strategic planning for the next five years, but they were also aware that if the millage didn't pass, cuts could be something that would happen. Mayor Pro-Tem said agreed with council members Vogt and Nicole Brown, and would vote in favor of this but the subsidy should be put back in the budget as soon as possible.

Council Member Anne Brown asked if the $49,000 from Parkridge includes building maintenance. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked Ms. Uy to explain this in more detail. Ms. Uy stated that the total budget for the Parkridge Community Center is $50,208. $11,000 will be subtracted from the $50,208 which represents interest from Mr. Barfield's endowment. In addition, $10,809 will also be subtracted, which is the 50% city share of the utilities and janitorial services. The net expense of Parkridge Community Center is $38,219.and that includes wages for two part-time employees, office supplies and operating expense, some travel allowance for Mr. Fry and PCC 50% share of the utilities and janitorial service. Mayor Pro-Tem questioned if the maintenance is included in the $38,219 and Ms. Uy responded it was being paid by the city in a separate account.

On a roll call, the vote to approve the amendment to remove subsidy from Senior Center and Rutherford Pool was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | No | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 6 NO: 1 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**Move to eliminate the Land Fill and Boys and Girls Club as property sales for sources of revenue for FY16-17 and FY17-18 by Council Member Murdock, Supported by Council Member Robb**

Council member Robb stated that since this is speculative, we shouldn't count on this and shouldn't budget it. Mayor Edmonds was in agreement but also asked the estimated timeline on the RFP for the Boys and Girls Club. Ms. Ernat responded that we are looking to partner with the state and while it will slow down the process, it will increase our visibility in the product that we put out. We would like to have that bid out by the first of the year, although it may move a couple months back depending on the state. Council Member Vogt added we should not include these things on the budget at this time, adding this amendment does not mean we are trying to sell these properties, we just don't know at what time and what price. Mayor Edmonds stated that council is working to put known revenue, and known expenditures in the budget, in order to have the most accurate version.

On a roll call, the vote to approve to eliminate the Land Fill and Boys and Girls Club as property sales for sources of revenue for FY16-17 and FY17-18 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**Move to amend the parking meter placements in Depot Town by Council Member Murdock, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Mayor Edmonds stated that while she supports this item, the process for this to take place, and the conditions and configuration of the lots need to be worked out and come to us as part of a broader transit plan in that area. She added that the processing will take time. Council Member Vogt says eliminating this from the list doesn't mean we won't have paid parking in Depot Town in the future; it just means that at this time we don't have enough information. Mayor Edmonds asked McMullan about Ann Arbor donating parking meters to us. Manager McMullan indicated that Ann Arbor is willing to donate the meters and whenever we decide to institute meters or some type of parking, she will discuss it with DPS as to where they will be installed. Council Member Murdock says it is possible that the City may adopt a totally new system of parking meters over the old ones we will get from the Ann Arbor DDA. It is unknown at this time what the parking will look like.

On a roll call, the vote to amend parking meter placements in Depot Town was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**Council Member Murdock moved to eliminate the street lighting special assessment from this budget amendment, seconded by Council Member Vogt**

Council Member Vogt and Mayor Edmonds both agree. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked if this is being eliminated and Council Member Murdock responded that it can be bought up again later.

On a roll call, the vote to eliminate street lighting special assessments from this budget amendment as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

Mayor Edmonds stated that while she doesn't have a specific amendment for this budget, Manager McMullan did provide her with

utility bills for the buildings. Mayor Edmonds began assessing these, and it is her opinion that in looking at HVAC and City Hall, it is really inefficient to be running on weekends.

Council Member Murdock stated that he would like to maintain the hiring freeze beyond this budget until the new City Manager can review the budget. Council Member Murdock moved to extend hiring freeze beyond budget discussion until new City Manager can look at budget, Seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson.

Council Member Anne Brown stated we have an HR contract through 12/31. Council Member Murdock said that hopefully we will have a City Manager by then, but if not, it can be brought before council. He said that vacant positions happen all the time but we don't want to rush out to hire someone if this budget is approved. Mayor Edmonds asked if this is just for staffing positions or included paid interns. Council Member Murdock replied that it would mean everything. However, if someone feels it is absolutely necessary, they can make their case. Manager McMullan said we hire temporary staff during the election so that should be included. Council Member Murdock added that he assumed the election inspectors are all hired by now. Mayor Pro-Tem stated she wants to add an amendment to the motion to make exception for temporary election workers. Council Member Robb said we should have a separate amendment after we do the budget amendment and policy amendments should not be lumped into this.

**Council Member Murdock withdrew his motion.**

Council Member Robb asked for an explanation as to why the business license process has not been updated yet and why revenues are still being shown for a full year. Manager McMullan asked Ms. Ernat to explain. Ms. Ernat stated that the new process requires Council approval and that she intends to bring an ordinance for consideration by February. She added that business license fees are still to be collected but the new ordinance proposal will seek to combine the Business License and the Certificate of Occupancy processes and that she does not see much impact to the budget for FY16-17 but definitely for FY17-18.

Council member Murdock asked if the revenue here is the same amount for this year and next year. Ms. Ernat stated that businesses currently pay for licensing so we have a good idea of the future numbers. Council Member Murdock asked how the new inspector will be able to do business inspections and catch up on the rental program. Ms. Ernat stated that the new inspector's time will be split 50/50.

**Council Member Robb made a point of order to remove anything from the budget amendment that does not have to do with money and is just ideas, supported by Council Member Anne Brown.**

**On a roll call, the vote to approve to remove any item from budget amendment that does not have a dollar amount attached to it was as follows:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |

Council Member A. Brown Yes
VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

Council Member Robb stated that 6 ½ years ago, Council received a DPS efficiency study that recommended elimination of the General Superintendent to save $75,000.

**Council Member Robb moved to eliminate the General Superintendent from the DPS, seconded Council Member Nicole Brown.**

Council member Vogt asks Mr. Kirton to explain this. Mayor Edmonds asked Council Member Robb to send the Council the packet, to which Council Member Robb stated it is on the website. Mr. Kirton asked Council if they had any specific questions. Council Member Nicole Brown asked if Mr. Kirton has any input or overview of what Council Member Robb is talking about. Mr. Kirton stated that he had no prior contact about this. He added that it would be detrimental to his operation, especially winter. Council Member Murdock added that he recalled that study too although he is not for or against it but would really need to see how it would affect the department. He says that eliminating the position just bumps everyone down. He continued by stating he doesn't believe all the supervisors are funded by general fund; we would have to see how it impacts this budget. Ms. Uy stated that the budget for this is a very small percentage of the general fund, maybe 5 to 10%. Council Member Vogt asked Mr. Kirton how it will be detrimental, to which, Mr. Kirton stated that when we plow for 20 hours at a time, we need supervisors and if we lose one, there would be issues with winter maintenance. Council Member Vogt asked if it would have any impact on summer time and Mr. Kirton responded that they assist in logistics for special events. Council Member Vogt says that this is another issue that will have a direct effect on the citizens. Mr. Kirton added that the Union would have something to say about it because you would be losing a union position.

**Council Member Vogt asked to either table this discussion or withdraw it. Council Member Nicole Brown withdrew her support and the motion died.**

**Council Member Robb moved to eliminate the SPARK subsidy of $8500 a year, Council Member Vogt Seconded.**

Mayor Edmonds asked if the payment for FY16-17 had been made, to which, Ms. Uy responded that a portion had been paid. Council Member Murdock added that at one time, DDA was paying a contribution of SPARK but Mayor Edmonds added that was a separate amount but has since it had been reduced. Council Member Vogt asked what the money is used for and Ms. Ernat responded that she was not aware if we had a contractual relationship but that $5000 goes to the SPARK East incubator as part of several contributors, and the $3500 is a donation to SPARK. Council Member Robb stated his intention is to stop this payment at this point. Council Member Vogt stated he would withdraw his support until more information is forthcoming. Council Member Murdock seconded the motion with an amendment to a total of $5000. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked if this is something we would need to discuss with SPARK since there had to be some kind of concession made and we

should weigh the benefits of what the City gets from SPARK. If we just keep cutting ourselves, we're going to find ourselves isolated.

**Council Member Murdock Amendment is to reduce the payment to $5000 in FY16-17 and reduce it by $8500 in FY17-18. Council Member Robb does not accept this. Council Member Murdock withdraws his amendment. The amendment died.**

**Council Member Robb would like amend the $5000 to imagine Washtenaw supported by Council Member Murdock.**

Council Member Vogt asked if we have a contractual obligation, to which, Ms. Ernat responded that she has to research it, but assuming there is no contract, we'd be the only community with property on Washtenaw not participating . Council Member Robb says that what they are trying to do does not impact us and it's the same as Aerotropolis, we weren't getting our bang for our buck so we dropped out. Ms. Ernat responded that we can't use the same logic as we did with Aerotropolis because we still have a voice here. Council Member Vogt added that we can amend the amendment to say as long as we don't have a contractual obligation. Ms. Ernat stated she would caution that we research these items to make sure we don't have contractual obligations as we were not briefed on this beforehand. Council Murdock says we should follow up what Council Member Vogt said to postpone this until the second reading of the budget.

**Council Member Murdock moved to postpone this until October 18, seconded by Council Member Vogt.**

On a roll call, the vote to approve postponement of this amendment was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 6 NO: 1 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

Council Member Anne Brown asked for clarification on reducing contractual services. Ms. Ernat stated that departments were asked to make cuts so she proposed a reduction of $10,000 to contractual services for Planning and Development in the current and next fiscal year. Council Member Brown asked what the funds are used for and if this is a good place to cut. Ms. Ernat stated that the funds are used for services unable to be provided in-house such as zoning reviews, environmental investigations, and other services. Mayor Edmonds suggested that this may not be a good place to cut since there are several on-going projects with these types of issues. Council Member Anne Brown asked if we should restore the total amount. Mayor Edmonds suggested we make the cut told staff to come make requests on a case-by-case basis for the funds if necessary.

On a roll call, the vote to approve the budget as amendment was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | No | | |

VOTE:

YES: 5 NO: 2 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XI. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING –

1. Resolution No. 2016-225, Approving Ordinance 1277 an Ordinance entitled "Thompson Block East Portion Rezoning" the rezoning for the east 0.2 acres of property addressed as 400 N. River from CN-SF, Core Neighborhood Single Family, to C, Center.

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Ms. Ernat stated that this is the same proposal provided two weeks ago and additional comments have not been received. She asked for questions from Council. Council Member Murdock asked if the property would or would not be located in the DDA if combined. Ms. Ernat stated that the parcel would not be in the DDA District and that an amendment to the DDA would be required to combine the parcel to the district. Mayor Edmonds asked if this makes an issue for development. Ms. Ernat stated it would not and that we can still work within the available incentives to make the project work.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution 2016- 225 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XII. CONSENT AGENDA – Resolution No. 2016-226

1. Resolution No. 2016-227, approving the minutes of September 6, 2016 meeting.
2. Resolution No. 2016-228, approving appointment to Boards and Commissions.
3. Resolution No. 2016-229, authorizing the Interim City Clerk to cast the ballot for the 2017 Michigan Municipal League (MML) Board of Directors election.
4. Resolution No. 2016-230, approving Contract with Carr's Outdoor Services, Inc. for the City of Ypsilanti Pavement Maintenance Program.
5. Resolution No. 2016-231, approving Ordinance 1276 an ordinance to prohibit coal tar sealants in the City of Ypsilanti, to be new City Code Section 94-3. **(Second Reading)**

**Moved by Council Member Vogt, supported by Council Member Nicole Brown**

On a roll call, the vote to approve Consent Agenda was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

**XIII. <u>RESOLUTIONS/MOTIONS/DISCUSSIONS</u>** –

1. Resolution No 2016-232, supporting regional transit in Southeastern Michigan.

**Moved by Council Member Murdock, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated that is long overdue since it has been on SEMCOG radar for a long time and many people will benefit from this transportation. We should encourage everyone to vote in support.
Council Member Murdock says the resolution speaks to the issue for us and it connects the four counties agreeing it's been long overdue. Mayor Edmonds says council strongly supports this.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution 2016- 232 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

2. Resolution No. 2016-233, requiring the Ypsilanti Housing Commission (YHC) to furnish information regarding minority contractors used for YHC projects.

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

1. Resolution No. 2016-233, requiring the Ypsilanti Housing Commission (YHC) to furnish information regarding minority contractors used for YHC projects.

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson**

Council Member Anne Brown asked Mr. Fosler, aside from the hours, how many individuals are there on these sites. Mr. Fosler answered that this is not a report that he can just pull. He said the contractors and subcontractors hired were provided to the African American Contractor Association, and were broken down by race and gender. Council Member Anne Brown asked how many jobs were created and how many were filled with people from this community. She questioned if Faith Management is a group from our community or not and asked if that information can be shared.

Mayor Edmonds asked Council Member Brown what specific information she wants. Council Member Anne Brown responded she wants to know the number

of bodies. Mr. Fosler stated that the report breaks it down by contractor and the number of hours. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated she would like this information provided to Council because she doesn't see jobs being created for people in the community. She said she has yet to see one person that looks like her and that bothers her. She suggested proposing the creation of an ordinance regarding the hiring of local contractors and electricians. She said it's essential that the city begin to protect its residents. Mr. Fosler stated that he provided all the information requested by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson. Ms. Richardson stated that she received information from the association and she believes Council needs to look into this further.

Mayor Edmonds asked if the information sent to Council was the same information that was sent to the Association. Mr. Fosler answered in the affirmative. Mayor Edmonds asked if the number of jobs created locally and locally were among minorities and again, Mr. Fosler answered in the affirmative. Mayor Edmonds asked what does local mean. Mayor Pro-Tem expressed concern about the following through of Section 3 of the provision. She stated that helps bring in people from the community to fill in the position. Council Member Anne Brown said she wants to know the number of the people since Mr. Fosler says that they are meeting the requirements of Section 3 and exceeding them at some points. Mr. Fosler stated that Section 3 is separate from local contracts and is an entirely different thing . He continued that the work has been broken down in tiers, which include residents of actual employment throughout the City and the jurisdiction. Mr. Fosler stated that 10% is what they strive to hit for both local and minorities, and they have hit 20% for local and minority subcontractors for both projects. He stated 33% of the 64,000 labor hours were worked by minorities. Mayor Edmonds stated that listing employee's names in the resolution is something that is contending.

Council Member Nicole Brown stated if it's inappropriate to ask for names, she doesn't mind striking it from the resolution. Mr. Fosler stated that specific employees are exempt from FOIA and it would be inappropriate. Council Member Anne Brown asked if Council could get the names where it says Faith Management and Mr. Fosler said he would have to look into that. Council Member Anne Brown asked for the name of the owner of Faith Management and Mr. Fosler stated he does not know the specific names.

Mr. Barr clarified that the names of the owners can be given to Council but questioned whether it's possible to find out the names of actual employees who worked on a public-funded project. He said the public has the right to get the name and addresses of all of the people working in the city. He said he drafted the resolution and thinks it's appropriate to get that information if it doesn't violate privacy. Mayor Edmonds stated that Council is just looking for the number of employees and the names centered mostly on the contracting company. Council Member Nicole Brown suggested changing number 2 so that the request is for a list of names of all the contractors by race and gender without their name.

**Moved by Council member Nicole Brown, supported by Council Member Anne Brown to amend Resolution 2016-233 to request the number of all minority contractors, and the number of minority employees by race and gender.**

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Council Member Vogt to extend the meeting to 11:00 p.m.**

**On a voice vote the motion carried.**

Mr. Fosler stated that the last project was a rehab, and the actual data is stronger than what Ms. Richardson may have observed from driving by the site. Mayor Edmonds stated that she concurs with the intent of the Council to look at best practices and to solicit the best partnerships. She acknowledged Mr. Fosler's statements that going back to retrieve some of this data could be very costly and suggested that moving forward, such as with the Parkridge project, we start keeping these statistics so it can be easily pulled. Council Member Anne Brown stated she would like to see the number of jobs created, and would like this measure going forward. Mr. Fosler stated it would be easier going forward because everything is now electronic compared to the paper process used for the last project. Council Member Nicole Brown agreed that moving forward it should be much easier so there should not be any problems going back in the future to gather data.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked Attorney Barr to look at the ordinance to confirm the percentage required for local and minorities. Council Member Anne Brown suggested community benefit agreements to help create jobs. Mayor Edmonds stated a good reason to put it on the ballot is that community benefit agreements are becoming more common. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked if any work had been done around the Peninsular project. Council Member Anne Brown stated that the City needs to be able to say how many people from the community are working on the site. Mr. Fosler clarified that the information Council would like to see is a list of the local and minority contractors used on city projects within the last two years. He added that the projects have sometimes been broken up to help local contractors. Council Member Anne Brown said that is the reason for the association. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson stated the City doesn't have a list of minority contractors but Washtenaw County does.

Mayor Edmonds asked Mr. Fosler if November 7th is a realistic date to provide the data. Mr. Fosler answered he would have to talk to payroll, but it is most likely possible.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

2. Resolution No. 2016-234, approving contract with Parks installation and Excavating, Inc. for the Replacement of DPS Underground Storage Tanks (UST) Fuel Tanks.

**Moved by Council Member Robb, supported by Council Member Anne Brown**

Mr. Kirton stated the existing tank is 24 years old and it's time to change since it is leaking. He is asked that Council approves the replacement of the tank for the second bidder at a $40,000 dollar saving. The Storage tanks are built in Michigan.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution 2016- 234 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | absent | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Absent |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 5 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

3. Resolution No. 2016-235, approving 2017 Health Care Providers Renewal for Employee and Retiree Coverage.

Moved by Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson, supported by Council Member Anne Brown

Ms. Myris began by stating that the City is looking to renew the current contracts with Blue Cross Blue Shield, EHIM and Blue Cross Blue Shield Medicare Advantage. These plans are in compliance with the labor contract limits for co-pays and deductibles. The City offers a PPO fully insured plan and optional HSA plan. Blue Cross Blue Shield provided a premium reduction of 3.5%, which is about $42,000 per year. There is an increase on the self-funded prescription of $27,000 per year and an increase of $11,000 for medical WRAP expenses, active and retirees combined. The Medicare Advantage plan that covers retirees eligible for Medicare A and B increased by 4% or $16,000 per year. The net effect is an increase of $11,000 per year.

Mayor Edmonds asked what Ms. Myris has seen from other municipalities that could help us with our retirees' plans. In discussion with MML members from Ferndale, Mayor Edmonds learned they are saving insurance dollars with wellness programs. Ms. Myris says she is not really sure and introduced Michele Bolser with VTC Insurance Group. Michele stated that the City introduced its first wellness program last year. Employees were incentivized to fill out a survey and have full physical examinations for which they would be paid $125 dollars. The City had six people participate. Ms. Bolser stated wellness plans can take a few years to see results. She stated that specific to retirees, other municipalities she works with do not have retiree health plans but they have done a good job promoting health clinics. Blue Cross and Blue Shield has rolled out new plans through WebMD, but most come with a cost. Ms. Bolser said she would look into other options available.

Council Member Robb stated when this material was presented last year, it showed a premium cost of $6,240 and what is being presented today shows $7,417. Michele asked if the employee contribution was taken out of last year's number; this presentation showed premium only. Council Member Robb said he will check that out.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution 2016- 235 was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Yes | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XIV. LIASON REPORTS –

A. SEMCOG Update
   a. Had a meeting at SEMCOG last week and the executive board is still pushing the regional transit. Has a couple of handouts
B. Washtenaw Area Transportation Study
   a. Nothing
C. Urban County
   a. Nothing
D. Freight House
   a. Testing on sprinkler system
E. Parks and Recreation
   a. Ypsilanti Huron River Day Sunday Oct 9th at 11, meeting for the friends of peninsular park and a group at EMU looking to do business
F. Ypsilanti Downtown Development Authority
   a. No update
G. Eastern Washtenaw Safety Alliance
   a. Going to meet again Oct 31 9:30 to 11
H. Police-Community Relations/Black Lives Matter Joint Task Force
   a. Meeting is next Monday
I. Friends of Rutherford Pool
   a. Meeting in a week
J. Council Member Vogt- Coalition opposing the DTE rate hike. The Coalition voted unanimously to accept the settlement proposal by a number of groups. Our part dealt with ranges and charges concerning street lights. It is costly and the effects cannot be estimated. The experts were very happy with the settlement on our behalf on the point of view is if you have LED lights you will pay substantially less than on DTE's original plan. Essentially all the increase does not have to do with LED. They will be less than the original proposal.

## XV. COUNCIL PROPOSED BUSINESS –

Council Member Murdock- Still hasn't received the committee commission for the vacancies and bringing up the resolution of the hiring freeze. Wants to move that we maintain the hiring freeze with the exception of the voters unless City Manager makes a decision to remove or fill a particular position.

**Moved by Council Member Murdock, Seconded by Council Member Anne Brown**

Council Member Anne Brown would like to include no pay increases unless voted on by council.

On a roll call, the vote to approve resolution to extend hiring freeze was as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | Yes |
| Council Member Murdock | Yes | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | Absent | Council Member Vogt | Yes |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 6 NO: 0 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Carried

## XVI. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR –

**Human Relations Commission**

Amber Fellows
210 Maples
Exp. September 2019

**XVII. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER –**

Work session October 11th for the Roads. Mayor Edmonds wants to make sure everyone is clear on the decision, roads and rails. Council Member Murdock says his thing was to focus on roads and transportation overall.

**XVIII. COMMUNICATIONS –**

- October 11th is the close of registration for the November General Election.
- October 11th Special Meeting – Working Session on Roads (7:00 p.m.)
- November 15th Joint City Council and Ypsilanti Community Schools Board Meeting will be held at Ypsilanti International Elementary School (503 Oak St.)

**XIX. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION –**

**Mayor Edmonds Closes Audience Participation**

**XX. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR –**

**XXI. CLOSED SESSION** –

Closed Session to discuss attorney opinion. *OMA 15.268(e)*.

**XXII. ADJOURNMENT –**

Resolution No. 2016-236, adjourning the Council meeting.

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, Seconded by Council Member Anne Brown**

**Meeting Adjourns at 10:49 PM**

# City of Ypsilanti
# City Council Work Session Agenda
# Tuesday, October 11, 2016
## City Council Chambers, 1 S. Huron St., Ypsilanti, MI
## WORK SESSION: 7:00 p.m.

**I. CALL TO ORDER** – Call meeting to order

**II. ROLL CALL** –

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member Anne Brown | P | Council Member Robb | P |
| Council Member Nicole Brown | P | Council Member Vogt | P |
| Council Member Murdock | P | Mayor Edmonds | P |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | P | | |

**III. INVOCATION** – Mayor Edmond asked those that are able to stand and face the flag for a moment of silence.

**IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** –

"I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

**V. AGENDA APPROVAL** –

**Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, seconded by Council Member Vogt**

**VI. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION** –

**Mayor Edmonds opened Audience Participation**

**Mayor Edmonds closed Audience Participation**

**VII. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR** –

Mayor Edmonds thanked to thank Bob Krzewinski for attending this work session and always participating in meetings.

**VIII. WORK SESSION** –

- Discussion regarding roads, other modes of transportation, and funding mechanisms.

Marcus McNamara, OHM stated he would review the presentation advising Council to stop him if there are questions. He would like to get through the bulk of the presentation and then respond to any questions. He began by reviewing some of the historic investments the City had made in the transportation infrastructure. Major roads have been projects because of the availability for multi plumbing services and major roads which are only eligible for those dollars. On average

about, one major road project a year. DPS has continued routine maintenance on both major and side roads throughout the year. He continued that what he would like to do tonight is talk about decisions that relate to projects and prioritizing. In the past, it took care of the local roads, but with constraints from WATS who allocates federal funding; those dollars were only eligible for major reconstructions until about 4 or 5 years ago. The decision in the past was easier because you would just look at a list, and picked out the top two or three that were in the worst condition. We need to figure out a way to measure those projects against one another. He would like to have a road map by the end of the night on how that process will look. One thing driving the need is the near term deadline, are the funds allocated in the 16-17 by WATS. There is $67,000 that is federally earmarked by the City as a place holder which is not committed to a single project. WATS is going to be putting in calls for our amendments within the next few weeks or months and that is going to be due just after the first of year.

He continued by stating in order to utilize those funds, the City is going to have to make a decision about which job they want to do, or if the funds is going to revert back to the County. Mayor Edmonds asks if we did that could it be that we are saving up for a bigger project. Marcus replied that basically WATS have their goals based on population, some with 10 year averages. He says that some communities are so small that it doesn't make sense for them to do federal projects every year. He said that they like to balance them over an amount of time. Mayor Edmonds asks what the population thresholds are . Markus responded that it is $4.3 million which is spread out between Ypsilanti, Ann Arbor, Dexter, and Saline. He said that Ypsilanti's percentage is 7.71, they just looked at a 5 or 10 year rolling average to keep the City at roughly $360,000 per year on average. Mayor Edmonds says we might go over $20,000 after this year, She said she didn't know if there was a threshold to bump us up. Marcus says that is the guidance that WATS uses; he says he wouldn't expect it to have a significant impact. What we want to do tonight is identify how many people will be on the scoring committee to evaluate the projects and project list to evaluate, we can take all of those and combine them in one list, doesn't take much time to score the projects and also identify the scoring criteria.

He went on to show a snap shot of the road statistics. He said the local bell curve is centered around a "6". The major distribution is varied; he says you have roughly a mile of 6 -10s. He says the majors have more needs when it comes to the reconstruction, and there is almost no reconstruction needs in local. There is an ACT 51 gas tax from the states which you may have heard about and there is additional funding coming from that due to the additional revenue they are capturing. They are talking about holding steady for the increase. MDOT provided an estimate of what they expected to get that is subject to change and Mayor Edmonds asked what they were. Marcus responded that by 2020 the city would receive 66% more. It was announced that that is going to change, how much is unknown right now. He said there is service transportation through WATS, CDBG money and Retroact Money, which usually aren't for major construction but they do affect the transportation. He says they have been diligent in trying to find any other source of money out there. He says they have tried to take advantage of dollars that weren't programmed. Council Member Murdock says it is always useful for us to have one ready to go and he asks if they have one right now. Marcus says that as of right now, the City does not.

Markus continued that there are limited dollars and having that plan in place for some grants are required but for some of them it is not. Mayor Edmonds asked if we have improved CIP. Ms. Ernat said that this does not include the roads. Marcus said there is a file and projects need to be updated and placed into new slots. Mayor Edmonds said when they approved CIP at their goal setting every year, their goal would be to make adjustments to it because it would be changing constantly. Marcus says the effort here would be to prepare that amendment. He says the infrastructure is more difficult because you have less moving parts and the transportation deserves its own category so you know what is being used. There will be a relatively small group

however, many types of council deem it is appropriate and the staff figures out which ones would work. Also, by having non-motorized involved may be a good idea. Look at the criteria on how you want to score the projects and estimate for those higher up on the list and also it is not a good idea to research projects that are 15 years away as there is too many unknowns. He says a big part of this is not only how to allocate your site resources but also your staff resources. Just because a project is expensive doesn't mean you shouldn't allocate staff to pursue grants for it. He talked about the example scoring, suggesting several categories. Very simple scoring from 0 to 3 with 0 being no impact 1 being minimum, 2 being moderate, and 3 being major. The categories identified were safety improvement, lawful jurisdiction, partnership, capacity improvements, coordination of other projects, whether or not the project needs the master plan or non-motorized plan is separate, asset management principal. Once the projects are scored we determine the cost and provide estimates for those costs. He says that one thing they will see is the projected funded source. It is okay if some are unfunded, don't exclude them from the list because there is no funding for them.

Marcus says the schedule is proposing getting the list complete prior to the December 6th council meeting. One of the meetings in December decides if the City is going to use the FAC funds or if they are going to give it to another agency. If we waited until the next TIF amendment it would not allow the city or another agency enough time to allocate the funds. Council Member Murdock asks if it is all of it or if we could do a portion. Marcus said they could do it but right now it is up to them to do whatever project they want or to give it to a lesser agency. He says this would allow Mr. Kirton to get that information into WATS by the January deadline. He says this is just really an amendment to the earlier CIP. Once this is established, the rest of it happens with the normal budgeting project and all that is left is to decide whether or not to move on with the project.

Mayor Edmonds says in the scoring we can have a column that discusses what wards are affected by the projects. Marcus agreed continuing that he suggest the project the list that the projects already on the CIP to take from the eligible list draw up the cut of three or lower which would be the roads that are eligible by WATS rule for reconstruction. He says lastly, anything that PETA added should be on the CIP. Mayor Edmonds asked that they have a big list with things being added, she said Marcus would want us to see if there are any more than need to be added and if there is a draft project list, she said what Marcus is looking for is the committee list. This list will be help facilitate things. Mayor Edmonds asks what the Council thinks about the current committee. Council Member Anne Brown asked if there were any other groups outside of the Non-Motorized that Marcus could think of that would want to be part of this. Marcus said the only other one that he thought of would be AATA, since some of these may be impacted, such as a bridge project. He said what he didn't mention on the scoring was if you have City staff and Council and someone very familiar with the City scoring every category, but you can have AATA scoring on just one part of it. Council Member Murdock asked couldn't they have an interest in train issues because it impacts them. Marcus said that could be a way to develop a list and have them score it.

Council member Anne Brown says we can add EMU because they would be interested if there are changes in Washtenaw County. Mayor Edmonds says that AATA and EMU have their own categories and just get the input that affects them. Council Member Vogt said it is his thought that the committee should have three council members and one from the other organization. Council Member Anne Brown prefers two members. Council Member Robb says that Non-Motorized shouldn't be on the committee and they can review it afterward like AATA and EMU; the reasoning being that they are not elected officials nor are they staff or council. Council Member Vogt says that he would agree with Council Member Robb in that they are not essential. Council Member Robb says we have eight categories but they do not relate to non-motorized. Mayor Edmonds says she would disagree in the many of the categories could deal with non-

motorized. Council Member Murdock says he agrees that there has been entire budgeting for non-motorized in which none of them have been discussed here. Mayor Edmonds says two council membesr, DPS, Planning and Development, Non-Motorized and reviewed by EMU and AATA for other special consideration. Council Member Robb says he still likes the idea of three Council Members. Marcus says he can distribute the spread sheet so you can go through and score them. Mayor Edmonds asks if that body needs to meet. Markus says you could or the results can be distributed and council can make the decision. Mayor Edmonds says she wants to find a way to make the process more informed and Marcus says that it can be done that way. Council decided to go with two council members and non-motorized members. Council Member Murdock and Council Member Vogt both volunteered to be part of the committee.

Council Member Murdock stated it looks like in terms of eligible street list is something he would look at for an annual road. He said there is a lot of things listed in his notes that are usually tap grant type of projects and somehow they need to get involved but there are timing elements. He said one of the things he asked for but hasn't received is the 1.5 to 1.6 M in gas tax money annually.. Mr. Kirton said we have about $30,000 or $35,000. Mr. Kirton says we can use all of this for maintenance; we basically use it all. He says we budget for service maintenance. Council Member Murdock said he is just trying to figure out what would be available to do all the projects they would need to do. He says there has to be money to do that or it doesn't gets done. He said if we're looking ahead 3 to 4 years and thinking it is going to be so much for a year to do things, it is going to be money to save such as the WATS money. Marcus says we can get a more definite answer to that.

Council Member Murdock says he would like to put this together in something to talk about. He says that all the resolutions that were being done were piling up and he wanted to talk about the roads before they got committed to do something they couldn't do. He said that maybe in 2022 requires some sort of match of some sort and maybe the train station which was a 1.5 m in budget, if we take the money out of there what is going to be left to do anything else. He thought the money for Huron River Drive was budgeted, there was emergency patch work done there, all other projects were put on hold. He brings up big picture projects talked about in passing such as M-17 and reimagine Washtenaw. He says he assume these things would have to be coordinated with the 2022 resurfacing MDOT is going to do and it will require a lot to just not get in the way of MDOT. He says there are more that have other elements besides reconstructing the road and there are other parts to that. He says that LeForge needs to be realigned and it is a big project that connects to other grants projects. We can start prodding the schools see if they are interested in doing things like this for speed limit signs we have around the school. We haven't talked about any bridges. Marcus says he would take this list and compare and whatever was on your list would just add it. Mayor Edmonds says they should ask Ms. Wessler what other things on this list are on the CIP. Ms. Wessler says that some of the things do not meet the CIP; they usually exceed $10,000 and have a significant life span so things like signage normally do not fall under that. Instead of completing the B to B trail from point A to point B, it would be B to B trail across the entire City. Mayor Edmonds ask Ms. Ernat what her opinion is about moving some of these into this category that is going to be scored, some of them will use the same funds. Ms. Ernat says that if something was over lapping she sees no harm in listing it twice; she says the CIP should be a living document and it can be done as both goal setting and budget process. Ms. Ernat says the road process can be seen as an addendum but that doesn't mean that it couldn't be eligible under multiple things and having two different bodies looking at the roads and over all CIP it would be necessary to put them into categories. Council Member Anne Brown asked Marcus if he sent his list. Marcus replied that it should have been sent with the presentation but he will send it out, the other list he is looking at is just the CIP structure.

Council Member Murdock says he doesn't think the list should be so rigid that we can't take risks when we see opportunities. He says somethings in future discussions that's not going to happen

right away is that sometime someone needs to figure out what the roads are and how we will manage traffic. He says this will have an impact on traffic and driving patterns and how we design roads. One thing mentioned was that MDOT was looking on how to allocate the gas tax and it might not be beneficial to us. He says another thing he didn't put on there was the second road millage which is coming up in a year and they need to figure out what they are going to do with that. He said this would probably be the next round but it is something we should be looking at.

**Mayor Edmonds asks Ms. Ernat if she would like to discuss the train.**

Ms. Ernat begins by saying all she has is an informal discussion but would like to ask for Council's direction in concept regarding the council opinion in pursuit of the train and the train stop at this time. If the settlement is still to pursue then she would suggest the $760,000 of WATS money that Marcus spoke about be pushed forward as soon as possible to allow someone to complete a project and give us 1 to 2 years to use those funds for the train station. That is a decision that needs to be made by the end of the year to push those funds through to be used later or in a project right now. Mayor Edmonds asks if she can give a status update with where we are. Ms. Ernat says that OHM has left things everything is ready to go to public comment. Those public comments left on the schedule Mr. Lange presented would have started a week after the August millage decision. However, we felt that wasn't a wise time to seek public comment as everyone was still emotional over the millage. She would like to have three public meetings and have the AMTRAK representative that Mr. Lange was working with to come out and speak to the public, after which time, we would then start the 30 day public comment period make any revision as necessary and bring it back to council to submit for approval. Mayor Edmonds says her feeling is to press ahead, she says that she doesn't want to get too delayed. Council member Murdock says that putting the millage back on is a mistake. Council Member Robb agrees stating that decisions should not be made tonight before the RTA election. If the RTA fails, we are not going to spend $2.5M on AMTRAK. We can kill that ballot quicker than anything by committing large chunk of funding to a project then asking people for money. Council Member Vogt says that he agrees and people will not understand and even if they do they will be mad if we do anything on this project, he says defer it until we solve the budget problem, we are in an impossible position and we can't bring it up now.

Mayor Edmonds says she doesn't agree as the train station is going to be the economic tool we need to bring the economy back up. It will bring in more people and work and even help with Water Street. She says that we can't delay it as it put us more in a fiscal risky position. She says this is a proven investment for communities all over the country and this could be a tipping point for the economy. Council Member Murdock says that he is a supporter of the train also, but he's been kind of looking for a place where we can stop and he doesn't know where it is. He says he looked at all the articles with Ann Arbor and their train and they've spent millions and aren't even in the environmental assessment stage yet. He thinks that if the RTA passes they will be a helpful contributor in making that construction happen. He says he is not opposed to moving some or all of that out of the WATS and moving it up so we can make those decisions later, he does want us to have some sort of road repair program, as we work through it in the next several years. He says we are way behind in some of those projects. Council Member Vogt says his problem with the project is that the size of the project needs to come down; the size of the contribution has come down and this has to come down tremendously before we can sell this in the future. He says we are not there yet and need to wait for the RTA vote and we should get our new City Manager in to do some recalculations and re-vetting. He says he is waiting for convincing evidence. Mayor Edmonds says we can send some information. Council Member Vogt stresses that he needs evidence for their particular project and we have not gotten any real concrete evidence before this time, he says that he believes it's premature.

Council Member Anne Brown asks Ms. Ernat what the timeline was for the public comment. Ms. Ernat says that the material is ready and the time is whatever you want, it was originally decided as 30 days but it can be changed, we were just going to do it online, but she disagrees and feels there needs to be actually face to face with people, business owners and just anyone interested. She says she sympathizes and sees the point of waiting for the two elections to wait for those results, the waters got very muddy in the last election over who is funding the train station and how it is being funded. Council Member Anne Brown says she agrees with the RTA but thinks we should move ahead with the public comment. Council Member Anne Brown asked if the RTA is a failure what would Ms. Ernat recommend be the next step on their platform. Ms. Ernat says Council would have to take a look at the funding and now that we have a cost estimate, it's easier to work to a number, she says there are two options for large funding available and we need to explore those before the public commenting. She says we can find out how much we can get to $ 2M before we do the public comment. Council Member Murdock says we don't want to get into a situation where we show pretty pictures; we need to be able to show the public how we are going to fund it. Ms. Ernat says we should have it funding before we do the public comment. Mayor Edmonds says she still hears positive comments coming from the people. Ms. Ernat says the comments she is hearing is where is the money coming from as we are making budget cuts. She says the biggest decision that Council should make tonight is the move the WATS money. She says we need to decide to either fund a project or move the money forward a couple of years. Her recommendation is to move it forward to figure out where we are.

Mayor Edmonds says she believes Council Member Anne Brown's point is a really important one in that we work with all the boards and staff to get everyone excited about the project. Ms. Ernat said at this time we only have one real source of funding; we need to do more behind the scenes to get 70% maybe 80% funding before we take it to the people. Council Member Vogt said he agrees with Ms. Ernat as we need to keep city costs down, which leaves third parties trying to make up the money. We need to show people that the money is not coming from the general fund and will not affect services. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she doesn't like the idea of waiting two years; she says we've got somethings in motion but we do need to wait to wait till after the RTA. Ms. Ernat said that strengthens our position if it is approved and it gives us time to evaluate. She says timing and funding is everything and would give us a little time to vet those funding before we do public comments. Mayor Edmonds says Ms. Ernat is recommending keeping pushing and getting the money together, and we'll make it public when its ready. Council Member Murdock says the City is in for supporting this project with $2M. He said he wasn't recommending that we wait two years, but we can move on doing several other things and if anyone is serious about putting the millage on in May, we should wait for that to be solved. Ms. Ernat says the alternative to that would be unless it is funded. If those go through we can immediately go to public comment, if not if the Council put something on the May Ballot for Water Street then we should wait. Mayor Edmonds we have 75% of the funding and if we go to the public and they say "no," what would we do then. Mayor Edmonds says she doesn't see the point of moving forward if we do not have public opinion on the matter.

Council Member Murdock says if we have a public hearing that is the information they are going to get. He asked if we are still working with Berkman and (Oraro?) Administration sees what they are doing we can see how RTA is doing and postpone that money for the future. Mayor Edmonds says she does not support, that she would want to see what RTA does and look for other things to pursue. Mayor Edmonds informed Council Member Vogt that she met early on with the County and with AMTRAK about the economic analysis and she says Tony Dandreff from the County spent a while looking but didn't see any methodology for doing that in a predictive way, she asked him for that exact reason to do that for the City. Council Member Robb asked the kind of study; he says we are talking about two different things, and he believes in the transportation oriented development of commuter train but he doesn't believe that 9,000 to 40,000 people boarding for AMTRAK will have an economic impact; he cited the Dingell Station

as an example. He says think of the airport in which people will shop and eat while a commuter station is just a destination. He wonders that when Mayor Edmonds says case studies, does she means case studies based on AMTRAK or case study based on commuter rail.

Ms. Ernat stated there are two different rails; passenger which would be AMTRAK and commuter rails indicate what RTA is proposing to do, short distant trips. Ms. Ernat says that AMTRAK would be more a long distance transportation because of cost and businesses would like to be close by to those. She says the factor here would be the center for mobility that is seeking to locate in Ypsi Township, if the Center comes then the offshoot of businesses that comes with it could be highly dependent on that passenger rail, and we do have a lot of hospital secondary locators that come with the Center of Mobility that would be interested in the City because of the possibility of transport. Mayor Edmonds says SPARK is putting much energy into that Center with the Township and we are working to make sure the attention for the spill over affects for the City happens; we are working to get our voice into that conversation and that train will be a lynchpin on that spill over. Ms. Ernat said that generally speaking, Mr. Robb is right that 9,000 people riding that train will not have an economic impact as most of these people will be coming from Eastern or already live in Ypsilanti. She says what it does do is give us a chance to promote ourselves and what we do with it is the impact.

Mayor Edmonds said in addition to that, it is attractive to the build the housing on that side of EMU, it makes us look different to developers. Council Member Vogt said that as he said about the Water Street Tax millage what is needed before this is a series of articles, and outreach to the community so that the people are already seeing articles describing the benefits of and council's efforts to reduce the City commitment before we get to that point. It will help support the RTA if we get things out before November so it doesn't turn into a complaint session of people not wanting to believe. Council member Vogt said as of right now, we have not done this with the rail and there are too many people who don't believe us or don't want to believe us; we need to find a way to get around this. Mayor Edmonds said that is what Derrick from AMTRAK is going to come and do at that presentation to show the impacts that are similar in scale to Ypsilanti. Ms. Ernat says that Mr. Vogt said it would have to be more than once. Council Member Vogt agreed and said even from different sources he doesn't know how to coordinate that but we can also encourage other people to get out and get information out there, even using things such as social media.

Ms. Ernat asks if the direction is to push the WATS funding back. Council Member Murdock says we're going to meet on that. Ms. Ernat says this is just one of the many funding authorities. Council Member Murdock says that he is not opposed to pushing it up, he's hoping the county millage goes through so they can find out how much they have to do the road plan, and he wouldn't like to eat that up. Ms. Ernat says if you cut anything out of that $768,000, it's not attractive to other communities because essentially we have to sell this; she says we have to keep the pot large enough to keep it attractive for another community next year. Council Member Murdock said he's not worried about some other community taking what we make available it's never been a problem before, but he says we're not quite there.

Mayor Edmonds says they have had a discussion with a committee they are going to start moving forward pretty immediately with that ranking and scoring. She said we'll see after the election in November if they will have recommendations for Council, as we need to have it in by December. Marcus says that the deadline to do whatever it is the City is going to do is January 4th. Mayor Edmonds says her only other comment for the messaging is that someone talking to our potential funding partners, the first question asked is how much skin does the City have in the game, in leveraging funding that is an important point. She says she understands the messaging of make our citizens feel like we're not putting anything into this but it really hurts our ability to leverage the other funds and has been identified by our community as high priority that our skin needs to

be in the game. Council Member Robb says that they passed a resolution committing $2M, so that is our skin in the game. It has nothing to do with us taking action. Mayor Edmonds says when we're thinking about the message we must think about the pros and cons of the message such as getting other people to pay more. Council Member Vogt says that getting other people to pay more is essential. He said that the problem is to get public support is we need to improve their trust and unless they see signs that we are shrinking the project and doing more to leverage our contribution, it jeopardizes the bigger issue ,which is Water Street and getting the City back on financial track. He says we can ruin this project by killing the Water Street millage.

Mayor Edmonds said after having been around the table in the County and leveraging that $500,000 we have committed; that was the question, leveraging is important and maximum leverage is the term. Council Member Murdock says besides the $2M we have also spent a lot budgeting on this project, he says it's not that we have spent money, part of this project will be the TAP Grant and there is going to be a match to that. We had those numbers but we do not know specifically how much that match is and where it is going to come from. Mayor Edmonds asks Ms. Ernat if she feels she has an adequate direction, Ms. Ernat replies that she does.

**Mayor Edmonds closed the discussion to move on to Resolution No. 2016-238**

- Resolution No. 2016 – 238 approving the speed control policy and process effective immediately.

Moved by Council Member Nicole Brown, supported by Council Member Anne Brown

Ms. Wessler referred to what was last said when looking at the Speed Control issue. Council decided to go with the Washtenaw County Road Commission Metric. She said they have a rather robust metric compared to ours; it has more cut and dry numbers. Ms. Wessler added that the Washtenaw Road Commission included information about a funding sources in their policy; a policy she drafted for the Council basically echoes that; however, for funding there is a question. She says in our budget we have $50,000 set aside per year for traffic calming, so she referenced that line item in #8 on page 1 on the City of Ypsilanti Policy. It says that the approved funding will be budgeted from the City Traffic Calming fund between three local streets. In the event that this fund is exhausted, depleted or not funded the approved project will be blank? She says she put in some suggested language there. Mr. Kirton asked if traffic enforcement considered a control method and Ms. Wessler said it is not considered part of it. Mr. Kirton says if you are going spend $50,000, you might as well hire a traffic enforcer.

Mayor Edmonds asks for options about number 8. She said that the first two options would fit the best. Mayor Edmonds says there are three things in the and/or list, basically saying it will be put in the CIP or granted priority of place for the next available Traffic Calming Project Funding and/or incorporated into designs of the next reconstruction project if already part of the CIP.

**Council Member Anne Brown moved that in number 8, it include the first and second alternatives but not the third alternatives and was supported by Council Member Nicole Brown.**

Council Member Vogt said that he opposed this adding that he raised this issue in the first place in order to give residents a chance to not be subject to an assessment that does not make money. If council cannot or do not approve a measure or a the traffic control commission did not recommend a change to council or the council did not have money to recommend it, the local residents should have an option to have speed bumps that they can pay for as an option and approved by Council, so he feels they absolutely need number three. Ms. Wessler pointed out that this policy does not allow for any speed bump installation that is not warranted by this policy. Council Member Vogt says the point is to leave the local option as is, and choose, which of the three Council is going to do depending on the circumstances. Council Member Anne Brown

stated we are already budgeting $50,000 per year. Council Member Vogt pointed out that this can change because Council is only 1/3 through the budget cuts needing to be made. He says he would like to see #8 revised so Council will decide whether funding will be budgeted from the traffic calming fund, if they will be incorporated into the next CIP, incorporated into design, or residents will be given the options.

Mayor Edmonds asked if this is a friendly amendment. Council Member Anne Brown says she does not think so as it would set up a system that might be unfair. Council Member Nicole Brown asked Ms. Wessler if she could repeat what she spoke about in the policy about the situation Council Member Vogt spoke about. Ms. Wessler stated that under this policy, if something meets the warrants, it gets the speed bump if it doesn't it won't get the speed bump. Mayor Edmonds says that what Council Member Vogt was suggesting is if it didn't meet the warrants and the residents wanted to pay they could, she says she doesn't agree with it because of the varying difference to pay as some neighborhoods can get what they desire as speed control because they have more money and that is something she does not agree with.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she remembers years ago when the topic of speed bumps came up and this was in the neighborhood associations, it was decided that if neighborhoods wanted speed bumps, then they were responsible for putting them in with the approval of the City. Mayor Edmonds says that is not currently a policy on the books. Mayor Edmonds asked for further conversation of this amendment to keep alternative one and two.

On a roll call, the vote to approve the amendment to keep alternative 1 and 2 but remove alternative 3 on number 8 of the policy for Traffic Calming as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | Yes | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | No | Mayor Edmonds | Yes |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | No | Council Member Vogt | No |
| Council Member A. Brown | Yes | | |

VOTE:

YES: 3 NO: 4 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Fails

Mayor Edmonds asked for any further discussion on the Resolution. Council Member Robb asked if blight would have to exceed enough points to get a speed bumps. Ms. Wessler says she would have to run the numbers. Council Member Vogt stated that he has another amendment to be made, under criteria number 1, 85$^{th}$ percentile speed is inadequate it doesn't measure about half the information that needs to be measured, it completely avoids measuring the highest at risk traffic speed, it doesn't give any points for that percentage of the traffic that exceed that. Ms. Wessler said it actually does as the 85$^{th}$ percentile is the marker at it being looked at as over the speed limit so if the 85$^{th}$ percentile is only 1 to 4 miles per hour over the speed limit then 5, 6,7,8,9 if the graded speed is 35 but the posted speed is 25 that's 10 its an automatic speed bump.

Council Member Vogt then replied that if it is at or below a certain speed, it does not measure the impact of the rest of the traffic that might be doing far above that speed. He continued that if you have 85% at or below 10 MPH but 15% is going 20 to 25 over the limit, this does not provide any additional point for the safety risk, it ignores it, we can have two divergent facts on separate streets. He stated this is where the real danger is, not the 85% as it is only a partial capture, we need to have another point system for those vehicles exceeding 10 mph, what he proposed is that for every 1% exceeding the 10 mph, we add another point, we could call it 1b

criteria, range and points. This would more fully capture the danger and risk factor from those vehicles and give a more accurate reading of the speed dangers on those streets.

Ms. Wessler says she doesn't think that statistically make sense because the more people you have going drags that 85 percentile. Council Member Robb says that 85 percentile means that 85% of the people are driving at or below that speed, so you are suggesting we add a point for everything over 10 mph, once you hit 10 points you qualify why would we have anything over 10 points. Council Member Vogt says if the 85th percentile is not at 10 if it is at three. Council Member Robb says that he will give an example of the speed limit on his street is 100 mph if 85% of the people drive 50 mph on his road then 10 miles over that is 60 mph and gets 10 points, it is a measure of how fast people are driving, it has nothing to do with the speed limit on the road and what Ms. Wessler says she is taking 85% of whatever people are driving and add 10 miles to it. The 11 miles and the higher speed does not mean anything.

Council Member Vogt says that the 85$^{th}$ percentile is a ranking not an averaged and asked Marcus and Ms. Wessler to explain it further. Ms. Wessler said the way the metric works is it relates the 85$^{Th}$ percentile to the speed limit. If the percentile is 25 mph you wouldn't start counting until you hit 26. Marcus says that it's a distribution curve, you track all the speeds and you get a curve, the curve has an area under that curve 85$^{th}$ percentile is how far to the right you can go before you have 85% of the area created by the traffic and that would be associated with whatever speed that is. Marcus said it is what the state uses to set speed limits on higher speed roads. Ms. Wessler said that if the 85 percentile is too high, then we need to introduce something to bring it back down.

Council Member Vogt says that this is not using percentile in the normal sense, it is used as a standard deviation factor rather than a percentile factor. He then says that he would need time to think on this.

Mayor Edmonds says that because the amendment failed, number 8 still needs to be filled in. Council Member Vogt says he doesn't like having things auto approved because of the City's overall budgetary constraints, he would want every incident approved by Council. Ms. Wessler says that it is item number 7. Council Member Vogt says that 8 states it will be incorporated in the next update. He said he is talking through it with himself as what would make the most since.

Mayor Edmonds asked if anyone would like to offer an amendment to number 8. Council Member Anne Brown says that the suggested language would be the in the event this fund is exhausted, depleted, and is not funded, the approved project would be incorporated in the next CIP, the next would be the traffic calming plan and the next would be incorporated into the design of the next reconstruction project if it is part of the six year CIP. Mayor Edmonds says that basically if it is not part of the CIP, it can go in there, if it is part of the CIP, it would be incorporated into the next reconstruction project, and the last is it can be subject to residents/ property owner special assessment.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked what is being changed. Mayor Edmonds says Council member Anne Brown proposed and that amendment that failed that said the first two can happen but takes out the third special assessment. Council Member Anne Brown says her question is in terms of the special assessment it would have to be approved. Ms. Wessler says yes we would still have to do the work. Council Member Murdock says that by special assessment we would still have to set the district to go through us anyway. He says he doesn't think we're going to get very much if you look at streets like Douglas and you put two speed bumps in there, it is about $40,000. Ms. Wessler said $20,000 since it is around $10,000 per speed bump. Council Member Murdock went

on to say it'll be $40,000 by the time we get there, you got 20 houses on that street and they're all going to vote to pay $2,000 a piece -- he doubts it.

Mayor Edmonds asked if there is an amendment. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she doesn't have an amendment but she does have a question, she pointed to number 9 on the policy, and asked if the speed bumps are put in by special assessment then is the city just going to go in and remove them, what is going to be the process of that? It says the City staff will submit a recommendation for removal to the Council for approval. Mayor Edmonds asked if they would get their money back. Ms. Wessler asked the process of removing the street lights right now, do people get a refund? Mayor Edmonds says we didn't give everyone the option not to pay the street light assessment, she says she approves of the amendment but unless the assessment is amended then she would vote against it.

Mayor Edmonds asked for any other amendments. Council Member Vogt says going back to the percentile thing, he understands the point on that, however, he thinks his point is still valid just not as strong as it was. The way of rating of things assumes a perfect bell curve which does not exist in reality when talking traffic. He says that going back to his point you can have the vast majority of people being below or at the speed limit and have 15% tremendously exceeding the speed limit but the 85$^{th}$ Percentile will have not accounted for the high end speeders which is what people in the neighborhood are concerned about. He says he believes this policy is an improvement over what we had, but he still thinks we're underweighting the high end risk, that the residents in the neighborhood worry about.

Council Member Murdock says he recalls seeing a Facebook message of someone complaining that a vehicle was going 75 MPH down their street. He says that he believes that is not how most people drive and you shouldn't design a whole street because of that. He also mentioned that very few streets will actually meet these criteria. Ms. Wessler says that she thinks that cut through traffic and average traffic together might (2 Hour mark not exactly sure what was said here)

Council Member Anne Brown while reading the updates says that the street assessment was not included as an option if the plan is not funded. Mayor Edmonds points out that it says after those options that the street assessment is the third option. Council Member Anne Brown says she sees that now. Mayor Edmonds then asks Clerk Hellenga to call roll for this vote.

On a roll call, the vote to approve Resolution No. 2016-238 as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Council Member N. Brown | No | Council Member Robb | No |
| Council Member Murdock | No | Mayor Edmonds | No |
| Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson | No | Council Member Vogt | No |
| Council Member A. Brown | No | | |

VOTE:

YES: 0 NO: 7 ABSENT: 0 VOTE: Fails

**IX. <u>AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION</u> –**

**Mayor Edmonds Opened Audience Participation**

**Mayor Edmonds Closed Audience Participation**

## X. REMARKS FROM THE MAYOR –

Mayor Edmonds posed a question to Manager McMullan. She says that she and Manager McMullan have been going back and forth with Ms. Grinzinger from the MML around the finalization for the posting hoping the posting could go up on Thursday. Mayor Edmonds says there was a question about salary range, is that an item that needs to comes before Council.

Manager McMullan says that Ms. Grinzinger wanted to know if that amount was okay, it is $80,000 to $120,000.

Council Member Anne Brown asked for confirmation if it is $80,000 to $120,000. Mayor Edmonds said communities like ours scale around that, asking Manager McMullan if that was Ms. Grinzinger's suggested amount. Manager McMullan responded that came from our scale from when we completed the wage parity - $80,000 was the low range. Mayor Edmonds said that this is a pretty large range. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says that is pretty high for us and if it is out there that is what people are going to be expecting.

Mayor Edmonds said when she looks at a scale for other communities she says that it is an appropriate full range. Manager McMullan says that is what we have and that is our range unless you want to adjust it. Mayor Edmonds said that they also need to schedule their two hour session which can be done at the next meeting if everyone comes with their calendar. Mayor Edmonds said we should do this now because Council Member Nicole Brown will not be at the next meeting.

Mayor Edmonds began by saying first they should set the two hour meeting to review the top applicants, it will be posted but it will be a closed session. Ms. Grinzinger would not be able to do a 7 am meeting because it is not in her travel time. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked what exactly are we doing with this meeting and Mayor Edmonds answered that we are reviewing the applicants. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson then asked if Ms. Grinzinger needs to be at this meeting or if Council can move ahead on this meeting without her. Mayor Edmonds responded that the posting should go out this Thursday but if not, it will go out next Tuesday. MML sets the deadline to be a four week period after that and Ms. Grinzinger knows the four week period and how much time it would take them to review. Mayor Edmonds says that any time after November 23rd.

Manager McMullan says that she just looked up the range and it is actually $80,000 to $100,000.

Mayor Edmonds says that the closed session's goal is to determine a short list and they need a minimum of two hours, and then it is about six weeks after the ad goes up, so it would be about November 23rd. Mayor Edmonds then said after that we would need a closed session for a full day of interviews. We could do the interviews on a Saturday potentially but the only barrier would be if Saturday is the Sabbath for anyone. Mayor Edmonds corrected herself saying a full day session for interviews, she then summarizes saying the first session is closed for the short list then the interview session are open. Council Member Murdock asked if the first date would be after Thanksgiving.

Mayor Edmonds responded that it would be, since Ms. Grinzinger cannot attend a morning meeting it would have to be at night, she suggests the Monday or Tuesday after Thanksgiving the 29th. Council Member Murdock says he will be in Memphis. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says that this is a fifth Tuesday so they should not have a meeting. She then asked Council member Murdock if he will be back by the 29th and Council Member Murdock replied that he will not be back on the 28th or the 29th but if we can have a meeting the 30th, he could be back for that. Mayor Edmonds then suggested Thursday December 1st.

Council Member Anne Brown asked if that is for the screening process. Council Member Nicole Brown asked if it is a 7 pm meeting or not. Council agreed on 7 pm. Mayor Edmonds said she will contact Ms. Grinzinger to be sure that time is good. Council member Murdock asked if they can just whittle it down to the finalists at that time. Mayor Edmonds says Ms. Grinzinger is whittling it down to five candidates. Council Member Murdock asked if Ms. Grinzinger will offer us a list of applicants. Mayor Edmonds said the applicants will have a confidential option on their letters, but she does not know how that will play through if they do not make the short list, this is something they should ask Ms. Grinzinger about.

Council Member Murdock then asked if they received an entire list of everyone that applied. Manager McMullan said that they had numbers but no names. Mayor Edmonds says they have a regular meeting on the 6th and the 20th, the question she is asking is what everyone's availability is for all day. Council Member Robb says that last time we did this, everyone was allowed to submit their own questions, and doesn't understand how we are going to be interviewing someone for 90 minutes. Mayor Edmonds responded that Council would be interviewing and deliberating and making preliminary decisions on what our offer would be.

Council member Murdock asked if Mayor Edmonds expects Council to be making decisions on the day of the interview. Mayor Edmonds says Ms. Grinzinger does this all over the state and says for now; Council just needs to hold a date for all day. Manager McMullan asked if it was decided if they were going to hold a screening process and Mayor Edmonds responded that it will be December 1st at 7 pm. Mayor Edmonds then says the 17th would be the first available Saturday which would be ten days after the first. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says the 10th would be the first Saturday - that is ten days. Mayor Edmonds replied that she should be available for that day.

Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson said previously, they did the interviewing in the evening after they had the five, then they called two or three people back for a second interview. Mayor Edmonds says this is how Ms. Grinzinger outlined the process. Council Member Murdock asked how many people were interviewed the last time, 4 or 5? Council Member Robb says it was around 5 or 6 people. Council Member Murdock said it was about an hour each. Council Member Robb said they only had about 15 questions and it took around 40 minutes per person. Mayor Edmonds moved the conversation along by saying that the meeting has to be on a Saturday, the possible date would be December 17th. Council member Murdock says if we do the date on the 17th, then on the 20th we can make a decision.

Council Member Nicole Brown asked if they are going to choose a person based on one interview. Mayor Edmonds asks Manager McMullan to go over the benchmarks set by Ms. Grinzinger. Manager McMullan responded that Ms. Grinzinger said after deliberations it would be 5 to 10 days after for background, then references, and then contract approval, then start date. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson asked if Ms. Grinzinger has a provision for a second interview. Mayor Edmonds says that Ms. Grinzinger recognizes that they may have to fit a second interview in the schedule. Council Member Murdock pointed out at that the last interview process, they did not have a second interview in the schedule but they were able to add one in. Mayor Edmonds says we can do that, we just need to get these base times on our calendars.

Council Member Vogt stated that the factor that has not been discussed is that once you tentatively pick someone, there has to be a conversation about the terms of the contract and deliberation, therefore, the 20th will be an unlikely date. Council Member Robb says it won't because what they have to do is make a public motion saying we are offering the job, and then in January, we can ratify the contract. Council Member Anne Brown asked about the cost for moving and if the City paid for it. Council Member Robb says we can negotiate that. Council Member Anne Brown then asked about coming in for the interviews. Council Member Robb says

he believes that's part of the budget; we'll fly people in on our cost. Manager McMullan said Ms. Grinzinger said the City will have to pay for that and accommodation if necessary since it's not a part of that $15,000. Council Member Anne Brown asked Manager McMullan if Ms. Grinzinger asked about that, to which Ms. McMullan responded in the affirmative. Council Member Robb said we didn't pay for the person in Michigan to come down. Mayor Edmonds said we can talk to Ms. Grinzinger about this and she can advise us on standard practices but the City should be able to book flights based on a Government fixed rate with the airlines. Council Member Robb stated that it will also give us reason enough for criteria for wanting to interview someone. Mayor Edmonds says those are our dates, she will inform Ms. Grinzinger and let Council knows if anything changes, she says she will asks Ms. Grinzinger what time she feels is appropriate.

Mayor Edmonds asked if there are any items to be placed on the agenda when they meet with the Ypsi School Board in November. Council Member Murdock says the railroad street building and safe routes to schools. Council Member Robb referred to the resolution when we say we are going to take them to court - he stated that the school board is in dereliction of the building code and they need to get that corrected and fixed. The only way to do that is to take them to court. Mayor Pro-Tem Richardson says she thought the City was trying to work with them, and the City needs to be in the process of trying to make friends. Some of the communication wasn't in line with how we would want to be treated. Mayor Edmonds says she's been reaching out to the superintendent and trying to get them to fix it as she doesn't want the meeting with them to be all about the building. Mayor Edmonds also says that some of the resolution she wants to look at would be bus idling and other idling at schools. This is a joint school, city public health issue.

## XI. ADJOURNMENT –

**Motion to Adjourn by Council Member Nicole Brown, seconded by Council Member Anne Brown**

Resolution No. 2016-239, adjourning the Council meeting.

Resolution No. 2016 – 250
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

THAT, the following individuals be appointed to the City of Ypsilanti Boards and Commissions as indicated below:

| **NAME** | **BOARD** | **TERM EXPIRATION** |
|---|---|---|
| Phil Tepley<br>725 E. Grand Blvd.<br>Ypsilanti, MI 48198 | SmartZone | 6/30/2020 |

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY: _____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

**REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION**

**November 1, 2016**

**To:** **Mayor and City Council**

**From:** **Tarina Myris, Interim Human Resource Manager**

**Subject:** **Employee Wellness Program for 2017**

---

## Summary & Background:

In 2016, the City began an employee wellness program which offered a one-time $125 incentive to each active employee that participated. Studies suggest that participation in wellness programs could reduce long term costs for a group's health plan. Therefore, it is recommended the city continue the Employee Wellness Program in 2017.

A one-time $125 wellness reward will be paid to each employee that completes the following program requirements:

1) Complete a brief, confidential online health self-assessment
2) Submit verification from a physician that the employee completed a physical examination

This program will be administered through a third party administrator (TPA); the City will not be provided with confidential health information. The program will be start January 1, 2017 and end December 31, 2017. Participation is voluntary. Payments will be made within 30 days of the employee providing proof of completing program requirements. The payment will be added to the employee's gross wages and are subject to payroll taxes and normal payroll deductions. It the unlikely event that every eligible employee participated in the program, the cost to the City would be $7,375.

In 2016, the City had about 5% participation. The City's goal is to increase participation by improving communications of the program with employee flyers and posters, announcing the program during annual open enrollment meetings, and including information on the program as part of the online enrollment process.

Although the City would encourage and recommend all employees and their dependents obtain preventative health services, improve healthy habits, and effectively manage long term diseases, this program is only available to active employees who are enrolled in one of the City's medical plans. A maximum of $125 will be paid to any eligible employee that completes the program requirements.

**Recommended Action:** Approval

**Attachments:** Resolution

**City Manager Approval: _____Council Agenda Date: 11/1/16**

**City Manager Comments: _____**

**Fiscal Services Director Approval: _____**

Resolution No. 2016 –251

November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

**Whereas,** City Council of the City of Ypsilanti deems it to be in the best interest of the City to continue to promote a healthy workplace with a long term goal of reducing health care costs; and

**Whereas,** a wellness program is intended to improve and promote the health and fitness of those who participate,

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, the Council of the City of Ypsilanti approve an Employee Wellness Program for calendar year 2017 that offers eligible employees who participate a one-time $125 wellness reward. This program offering is subject to the approval of the labor unions for their members.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY: _____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

Resolution No. 2016-252
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

**Whereas,** the City Council of the City of Ypsilanti desires to address the needs of residents, organizations and business communities in the present without compromising the needs of the future; and

**Whereas,** the City Council of the City of Ypsilanti seeks to create a model of sustainability through efforts to advocate, educate and promote the social, economic and environmental health of the community now and into the future; and

**Whereas,** sustainability broadens the lens and scope of energy and environmental needs in the future such as wind, solar, clean air, water and improving infrastructure; and

**Whereas,** natural resources are a chief asset in Ypsilanti and wanting to be responsible stewards of these assets; and

**Whereas,** a sustainability commission will begin the work of collaborating with employees, employers, service providers and other governmental and educational agencies to share ideas and draft a sustainability plan.

**Now therefore be it Resolved,** by the City Council of the City of Ypsilanti that we hereby establish a sustainability commission to consist of eleven members who shall include one City staff, two council, four residents, three statewide of local environmental organizations and one county resident.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY:_____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

**REQUEST FOR LEGISLATION**

**November 1, 2016**

**To:** **Mayor and City Council**

**From:** **Tarina Myris, Interim Human Resource Manager**

**Subject:** **Employee Share of Medical Insurance Costs for 2017**

---

## Summary & Background:

Each year, the City is required to comply with the Michigan Public Act 152 of 2011, as amended, in regard to employer paid health care benefits for employees. The "Act" provides the City with three options to comply with the law.

**Option #1** – Take no action and comply with the Hard Cap Limits established by the state.
**Option #2** – By majority vote, approve a 20% cost sharing by the employees.
**Option #3** – By super majority 2/3 vote of Council, opt out of compliance with the act.

Labor agreements currently in place have approved to be governed by Public Act 152. The C.O.A.M. and P.O.A.M. unions have established set costs for employees that elect two-person (25% of premium) or family coverage (30% of premium), are hired after 07/01/12, and who have a base salary of over $55,000.

The City has implemented Option #2 for 2016, and in previous years, which requires employees to contribute 20% of the cost of health insurance including medical insurance premiums, estimated self-funded medical WRAP expenses, estimated self-funded prescription costs, employer health savings account contributions, and related fees. The City is responsible for the remaining 80%. The costs of dental and vison coverage are not considered health care as part of the Act; only medical related expenses apply.

Continuing the 20% share in 2017 will result in minimal change for both the City and employees as there is an overall decrease in cost of about 1%. Employees have become accustomed to the 80%/20% share arrangement and rely on the fair judgment of the City, their employer, when determining benefit costs.

It is recommended that City Council adopt the attached resolution which will adopt Option #2 and continue the 20% employee premium share arrangement for our group's medical benefit plan year from 01/01/2017 to 12/31/2017.

**Recommended Action:** Approval

**Attachments:** Resolution and supporting documents

---

**City Manager Approval: _____Council Agenda Date: 11/1/16**

**City Manager Comments: _____**

**Fiscal Services Director Approval: _____**

Resolution No. 2016 –253
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

**Whereas,** the City Council of the City of Ypsilanti deems it necessary to comply with the State of Michigan 2011 Public Act 152, as amended; and

**Whereas,** the Act contains three options for complying with the requirements of the Act as follows:

1) Hard Cap Option, limits employer's total annual health care costs for employees based on coverage levels
2) 80%/20% Option, limits employer's share of total health care costs to not more than 80%, requires annual majority vote
3) Opt Out Option, the City may exempt itself from the requirements of the Act by an annual 2/3 vote; and

**Whereas,** the City Council has decided to adopt the 80%/20% option as its choice of compliance under the Act;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT,** the Council of the City of Ypsilanti elects to comply with 2011 Public Act 152, by approving and adopting the 80%/20% Employer/Employee cost sharing option for the medical benefit plan coverage year 01/01/2017 through 12/31/2017.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY: _____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE:

**Supporting Documents**

**Request for Legislation, November 1, 2016**

**Employee Share of Medical Insurance Costs for 2017**

**Contents:** **Page(s)**


| | |
|---|---|
| Public Act 152 Cost Limitations Calendar Year 2017 | 2 |
| Labor Agreement Language Regarding Health Care | 3 |
| Summary – Plan Rates, Hard Cap, 80/20 Share | 4 |
| Rate/Cost Comparison 2017 vs 2016 | 5 |
| Employee Cost Scenarios Compared to Wages | 6 |

89 (Rev. 04-15)

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY
LANSING

RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

NICK A. KHOURI
STATE TREASURER

**September 20, 2016**

# PUBLIC EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS TO MEDICAL BENEFIT PLANS ANNUAL COST LIMITATIONS – CALENDAR YEAR 2017

For a medical benefit plan coverage year beginning on or after January 1, 2012, MCL 15.563, as amended by 2013 Public Act 270, sets a limit on the amount that a public employer may contribute to a medical benefit plan.

For medical benefit plan coverage years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, MCL 15.563 provides that the dollar amounts that are multiplied by the number of employees with each coverage type be adjusted annually. Specifically, the dollar amounts shall be adjusted, by October 1 of each year, by the change in the medical care component of the United States consumer price index for the most recent 12-month period for which data are available. For calendar year 2016, the limit on the amount that a public employer may contribute to a medical benefit plan was set to the sum of the following:

- $ 6,142.11 times the number of employees and elected public officials with single-person coverage
- $12,845.04 times the number of employees and elected public officials with individual-and-spouse coverage or individual-plus-1-nonspouse-dependent coverage
- $16,751.23 times the number of employees and elected public officials with family coverage.

The limits for 2017 equal the 2016 limits increased by **3.3 percent**. The 3.3 percent is the percentage change in the medical care component from the period September 2014-August 2015 to the period September 2015-August 2016.

Thus, for medical benefit plan coverage years beginning on or after January 1, 2017, the limit on the amount that a public employer may contribute to a medical benefit plan equals the sum of the following:

- **$ 6,344.80** times the number of employees and elected public officials with single-person coverage
- **$13,268.93** times the number of employees and elected public officials with individual-and-spouse coverage or individual-plus-1-nonspouse-dependent coverage
- **$17,304.02** times the number of employees and elected public officials with family coverage.

September 20, 2016

Nick A. Khouri
State Treasurer

**Labor Agreement Language Regarding Health Care**

As of 10/25/2016

Labor agreements currently in place with the City of Ypsilanti include language stating that employee contributions will be governed by Public Act 152. The following two units have specific language regarding employee cost.

**P.O.A.M.**

**Section 22.1: Health Care Coverage, page 22, excerpt**

Health Care – Employee contributions toward health plan premium, prescription premium, wrap plan cost, and administrative costs shall be governed by PA 152 of 2011.

The cost of this coverage will increase for employee's hired after July 1, 2012 and their base wages reach $55,000:

Pay 25% of the premium for 2 person coverage
Pay 30% of the premium for Family coverage

Said additional payments shall not be factored into the calculations required by PA 152.

**C.O.A.M.**

**Section 19.1: Health Care Coverage, page 33, excerpt**

PREMIUM CONTRIBUTIONS: Employee contributions toward medical and prescription drug coverage shall be governed by PA 152 of 2011. Please see Attachment A-1, A-2 and A-3.

**Attachment A-1, page 49, excerpt**

C.O.A.M. Benefits for Police Officers Hired on or After July 1, 2012

Health Care – Employee contributions toward medical and prescription drug coverage shall be governed by PA 152. The cost of this coverage will increase as follows once employee's base wages reach $55,000:

Pay 25% of the premium for 2 person coverage
Pay 30% of the premium for Family coverage

Said additional payments shall not be factored into the calculations required by PA 152.

## City of Ypsilanti Health Plan 2017

| Members (as of October 2016) | Single | 2-Person | Family | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PPO | 17 | 11 | 28 | 56 | |
| HSA | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | |
| Total | 21 | 12 | 30 | 63 | |
| | | | | | |
| BCBS Community Blue | | | | | |
| Premium | 399.22 | 958.13 | 1,197.67 | | |
| EHIM (RX) | 139.51 | 334.83 | 418.53 | | |
| EHIM WRAP | 62.86 | 171.78 | 214.73 | | |
| EHIM Fee | 20.50 | 20.50 | 20.50 | | |
| Total Monthly | 622.09 | 1,485.24 | 1,851.43 | | |
| Annual Insurance Rate per Member | 7,465.08 | 17,822.88 | 22,217.16 | | |
| | | | | | |
| BCBS HSA $2K/$4K | | | | | |
| Premium | 452.70 | 1,086.48 | 1,358.09 | | |
| HSA Contribution (Annual) | 1,250.00 | 3,250.00 | 3,250.00 | **Amt. established by City 2016* | |
| Annual Insurance Rate per Member | 6,682.40 | 16,287.76 | 19,547.08 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Annual Premium Totals | | | | | |
| PPO | 126,906.36 | 196,051.68 | 622,080.48 | 945,038.52 | |
| HSA | 26,729.60 | 16,287.76 | 39,094.16 | 82,111.52 | |
| | 153,635.96 | 212,339.44 | 661,174.64 | 1,027,150.04 | Total Cost |

## Option #1 - Hard Cap

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2017 Hard Cap Annual Max Per Member | 6,344.80 | 13,268.93 | 17,304.02 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Calculated Max Based on Member Count | 133,240.80 | 159,227.16 | 519,120.60 | 811,588.56 | City Share |
| Difference Premium vs. Hard Cap Max | 20,395.16 | 53,112.28 | 142,054.04 | 215,561.48 | Employee Share |
| | | | | 1,027,150.04 | |

*EMPLOYEE SHARE: SIMPLEST CALCULATION TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Amount Over Max per Member PPO | 1,120.28 | 4,553.95 | 4,913.14 |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 43.09 | 175.15 | 188.97 |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 21.54 | 87.58 | 94.48 |
| | | | |
| Amount Over Max per Member HSA | 337.60 | 3,018.83 | 2,243.06 |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 12.98 | 116.11 | 86.27 |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 6.49 | 58.05 | 43.14 |

## Option #2 - 80/20 Share

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 80% of Annual Premium per Member PPO | 5,972.06 | 14,258.30 | 17,773.73 | | |
| 80% of Annual Premium per Member HSA | 5,345.92 | 13,030.21 | 15,637.66 | | |
| | | | | | |
| 80% of Annual Premium Totals for Group | 122,908.77 | 169,871.55 | 528,939.71 | 821,720.03 | City Share |
| 20% of Annual Premium Total for Group | 30,727.19 | 42,467.89 | 132,234.93 | 205,430.01 | Employee Share |
| | | | | 1,027,150.04 | |

*EMPLOYEE SHARE*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 20% Employee Share of Annual Rate PPO | 1,493.02 | 3,564.58 | 4,443.43 |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 57.42 | 137.10 | 170.90 |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 28.71 | 68.55 | 85.45 |
| | | | |
| 20% Employee Share of Annual Rate HSA | 1,336.48 | 3,257.55 | 3,909.42 |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 51.40 | 125.29 | 150.36 |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 25.70 | 62.65 | 75.18 |

## Rate/Cost Comparison 2017 vs 2016

| Members (as of October 2016) | Single | 2-Person | Family | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| PPO | 17 | 11 | 28 | 56 |
| HSA | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Total | 21 | 12 | 30 | 63 |

| | 2017 | | | | | 2016 | | | | | Change | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BCBS Community Blue | Single | 2-Person | Family | | | Single | 2-Person | Family | | | Single | 2-Person | Family | | | |
| Premium | 399.22 | 958.13 | 1,197.67 | | | 413.73 | 992.93 | 1,241.17 | | | | | | | | |
| EHIM (RX) | 139.51 | 334.83 | 418.53 | | | 114.18 | 274.03 | 342.54 | | | | | | | | |
| EHIM WRAP | 62.86 | 171.78 | 214.73 | | | 78.49 | 214.86 | 272.73 | | | | | | | | |
| EHIM Fee | 20.50 | 20.50 | 20.50 | | | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Monthly | 622.09 | 1,485.24 | 1,851.43 | | | 624.40 | 1,499.82 | 1,874.44 | | | (2.31) | (14.58) | (23.01) | | | |
| Annual Insurance Rate per Member | 7,465.08 | 17,822.88 | 22,217.16 | | | 7,492.80 | 17,997.84 | 22,493.28 | | | (27.72) | (174.96) | (276.12) | | | |
| BCBS HSA $2K/$4K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Premium | 452.70 | 1,086.48 | 1,358.09 | | | 462.38 | 1,109.71 | 1,387.15 | | | (9.68) | (23.23) | (29.06) | | | |
| HSA Contribution (Annual) | 1,250.00 | 3,250.00 | 3,250.00 | *Amt. established by City 2016* | | 1,250.00 | 3,250.00 | 3,250.00 | *Amt. established by City 2016* | | | | | | | |
| Annual Insurance Rate per Member | 6,682.40 | 16,287.76 | 19,547.08 | | | 6,798.56 | 16,566.52 | 19,895.80 | | | (116.16) | (278.76) | (348.72) | | | |
| Annual Premium Totals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PPO | 126,906.36 | 196,051.68 | 622,080.48 | 945,038.52 | | 127,377.60 | 197,976.24 | 629,811.84 | 955,165.68 | | (10,127.16) | -1.06% | | | | |
| HSA | 26,729.60 | 16,287.76 | 39,094.16 | 82,111.52 | | 27,194.24 | 16,566.52 | 39,791.60 | 83,552.36 | | (1,440.84) | -1.72% | | | | |
| | 153,635.96 | 212,339.44 | 661,174.64 | 1,027,150.04 | Total Cost | 154,571.84 | 214,542.76 | 669,603.44 | 1,038,718.04 | Total Cost | (11,568.00) | -1.13% | Total Cost | | | |
| **Option #1 - Hard Cap** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hard Cap Annual Max Per Member | 6,344.80 | 13,268.93 | 17,304.02 | | | 6,142.11 | 12,845.04 | 16,751.23 | | | 202.69 | 423.89 | 552.79 | | | |
| Calculated Max Based on Member Count | 133,240.80 | 159,227.16 | 519,120.60 | 811,588.56 | City Share | 128,984.31 | 154,140.48 | 502,536.90 | 785,661.69 | City Share | 25,926.87 | 3.30% | City Share | | | |
| Difference Premium vs. Hard Cap Max | 20,395.16 | 53,112.28 | 142,054.04 | 215,561.48 | Employee Share | 25,587.53 | 60,402.28 | 167,066.54 | 253,056.35 | Employee Share | (37,494.87) | -14.82% | Employee Share | | | |
| | | | | 1,027,150.04 | | | | | 1,038,718.04 | | (11,568.00) | -1.13% | | | | |
| *EMPLOYEE SHARE: SIMPLEST CALCULATION TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Single | 2-Person | Family | | | |
| Amount Over Max per Member PPO | 1,120.28 | 4,553.95 | 4,913.14 | | | 1,350.69 | 5,152.80 | 5,742.05 | | | (230.41) | (598.85) | (828.91) | | | |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 43.09 | 175.15 | 188.97 | | | 51.95 | 198.18 | 220.85 | | | (8.86) | (23.03) | (31.88) | | | |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 21.54 | 87.58 | 94.48 | | | 25.97 | 99.09 | 110.42 | | | (4.43) | (11.52) | (15.94) | | | |
| Amount Over Max per Member HSA | 337.60 | 3,018.83 | 2,243.06 | | | 656.45 | 3,721.48 | 3,144.57 | | | (318.85) | (702.65) | (901.51) | | | |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 12.98 | 116.11 | 86.27 | | | 25.25 | 143.13 | 120.95 | | | (12.26) | (27.03) | (34.67) | | | |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 6.49 | 58.05 | 43.14 | | | 12.62 | 71.57 | 60.47 | | | (6.13) | (13.51) | (17.34) | | | |
| **Option #2 - 80/20 Share** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% of Annual Premium per Member PPO | 5,972.06 | 14,258.30 | 17,773.73 | | | 5,994.24 | 14,398.27 | 17,994.62 | | | (22.18) | (139.97) | (220.90) | | | |
| 80% of Annual Premium per Member HSA | 5,345.92 | 13,030.21 | 15,637.66 | | | 5,438.85 | 13,253.22 | 15,916.64 | | | (92.93) | (223.01) | (278.98) | | | |
| 80% of Annual Premium Totals for Group | 122,908.77 | 169,871.55 | 528,939.71 | 821,720.03 | City Share | 123,657.47 | 171,634.21 | 535,682.75 | 830,974.43 | City Share | (9,254.40) | -1.11% | City Share | | | |
| 20% of Annual Premium Total for Group | 30,727.19 | 42,467.89 | 132,234.93 | 205,430.01 | Employee Share | 30,914.37 | 42,908.55 | 133,920.69 | 207,743.61 | Employee Share | (2,313.60) | -1.11% | Employee Share | | | |
| | | | | 1,027,150.04 | | | | | 1,038,718.04 | | (11,568.00) | -1.13% | | | | |
| *EMPLOYEE SHARE* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Single | 2-Person | Family | Single | 2-Person | Family |
| 20% Employee Share of Annual Rate PPO | 1,493.02 | 3,564.58 | 4,443.43 | | | 1,498.56 | 3,599.57 | 4,498.66 | | | (5.54) | (34.99) | (55.22) | -0.4% | -1.0% | -1.2% |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 57.42 | 137.10 | 170.90 | | | 57.64 | 138.44 | 173.03 | | | (0.21) | (1.35) | (2.12) | -0.4% | -1.0% | -1.2% |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 28.71 | 68.55 | 85.45 | | | 28.82 | 69.22 | 86.51 | | | (0.11) | (0.67) | (1.06) | -0.4% | -1.0% | -1.2% |
| 20% Employee Share of Annual Rate HSA | 1,336.48 | 3,257.55 | 3,909.42 | | | 1,359.71 | 3,313.30 | 3,979.16 | | | (23.23) | (55.75) | (69.74) | -1.7% | -1.7% | -1.8% |
| Employee Bi-weekly Cost | 51.40 | 125.29 | 150.36 | | | 52.30 | 127.43 | 153.04 | | | (0.89) | (2.14) | (2.68) | -1.7% | -1.7% | -1.8% |
| Employee Weekly Cost | 25.70 | 62.65 | 75.18 | | | 26.15 | 63.72 | 76.52 | | | (0.45) | (1.07) | (1.34) | -1.7% | -1.7% | -1.8% |

| Base Wage (Rounded) | 2016 Coverage | Plan Type | 2016 Annual Cost | % of Wage | Pay 6.3% of Wage | Difference from 2016 | Hard Cap EE Annual Cost | % of Wage | 80/20 EE Annual Cost | % of Wage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53,000 | Family | HSA | 3,979.16 | 8% | 3,339 | (640.16) | 2,243.06 | 4% | 3,909.42 | 7% |
| 65,000 | Family | HSA | 3,979.16 | 6% | 4,095 | 115.84 | 2,243.06 | 3% | 3,909.42 | 6% |
| 37,000 | Single | HSA | 1,359.71 | 4% | 2,331 | 971.29 | 337.6 | 1% | 1,336.48 | 4% |
| 40,000 | Single | HSA | 1,359.71 | 3% | 2,520 | 1,160.29 | 337.6 | 1% | 1,336.48 | 3% |
| 40,000 | Single | HSA | 1,359.71 | 3% | 2,520 | 1,160.29 | 337.6 | 1% | 1,336.48 | 3% |
| 42,000 | Single | HSA | 1,359.71 | 3% | 2,646 | 1,286.29 | 337.6 | 1% | 1,336.48 | 3% |
| 32,000 | Two Person | HSA | 3,313.30 | 10% | 2,016 | (1,297.30) | 3,018.83 | 9% | 3,257.55 | 10% |
| 37,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 12% | 2,331 | (2,167.65) | 4,913.14 | 13% | 4,443.43 | 12% |
| 37,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 12% | 2,331 | (2,167.65) | 4,913.14 | 13% | 4,443.43 | 12% |
| 37,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 12% | 2,331 | (2,167.65) | 4,913.14 | 13% | 4,443.43 | 12% |
| 38,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 12% | 2,394 | (2,104.65) | 4,913.14 | 13% | 4,443.43 | 12% |
| 38,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 12% | 2,394 | (2,104.65) | 4,913.14 | 13% | 4,443.43 | 12% |
| 40,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 11% | 2,520 | (1,978.65) | 4,913.14 | 12% | 4,443.43 | 11% |
| 42,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 11% | 2,646 | (1,852.65) | 4,913.14 | 12% | 4,443.43 | 11% |
| 43,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 10% | 2,709 | (1,789.65) | 4,913.14 | 11% | 4,443.43 | 10% |
| 49,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 9% | 3,087 | (1,411.65) | 4,913.14 | 10% | 4,443.43 | 9% |
| 50,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 9% | 3,150 | (1,348.65) | 4,913.14 | 10% | 4,443.43 | 9% |
| 55,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,465 | (1,033.65) | 4,913.14 | 9% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 58,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,654 | (844.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 58,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,654 | (844.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 58,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,654 | (844.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 58,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,654 | (844.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 59,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 8% | 3,717 | (781.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 8% |
| 61,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 3,843 | (655.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 63,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 3,969 | (529.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 63,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 3,969 | (529.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 63,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 3,969 | (529.65) | 4,913.14 | 8% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 68,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 4,284 | (214.65) | 4,913.14 | 7% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 68,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 4,284 | (214.65) | 4,913.14 | 7% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 68,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 4,284 | (214.65) | 4,913.14 | 7% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 68,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 7% | 4,284 | (214.65) | 4,913.14 | 7% | 4,443.43 | 7% |
| 77,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 6% | 4,851 | 352.35 | 4,913.14 | 6% | 4,443.43 | 6% |
| 77,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 6% | 4,851 | 352.35 | 4,913.14 | 6% | 4,443.43 | 6% |
| 81,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 6% | 5,103 | 604.35 | 4,913.14 | 6% | 4,443.43 | 5% |
| 89,000 | Family | PPO | 4,498.65 | 5% | 5,607 | 1,108.35 | 4,913.14 | 6% | 4,443.43 | 5% |
| 31,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 5% | 1,953 | 454.44 | 1,120.28 | 4% | 1,493.02 | 5% |
| 35,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,205 | 706.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 35,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,205 | 706.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 40,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,520 | 1,021.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 42,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,646 | 1,147.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 42,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,646 | 1,147.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 42,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 4% | 2,646 | 1,147.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 4% |
| 43,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 2,709 | 1,210.44 | 1,120.28 | 3% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 49,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,087 | 1,588.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 50,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,150 | 1,651.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 50,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,150 | 1,651.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 52,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,276 | 1,777.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 53,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,339 | 1,840.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 55,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,465 | 1,966.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 58,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 3% | 3,654 | 2,155.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 3% |
| 63,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 2% | 3,969 | 2,470.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 2% |
| 68,000 | Single | PPO | 1,498.56 | 2% | 4,284 | 2,785.44 | 1,120.28 | 2% | 1,493.02 | 2% |
| 35,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 10% | 2,205 | (1,394.57) | 4,553.95 | 13% | 3,564.58 | 10% |
| 37,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 10% | 2,331 | (1,268.57) | 4,553.95 | 12% | 3,564.58 | 10% |
| 41,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 9% | 2,583 | (1,016.57) | 4,553.95 | 11% | 3,564.58 | 9% |
| 41,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 9% | 2,583 | (1,016.57) | 4,553.95 | 11% | 3,564.58 | 9% |
| 44,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 8% | 2,772 | (827.57) | 4,553.95 | 10% | 3,564.58 | 8% |
| 48,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 7% | 3,024 | (575.57) | 4,553.95 | 9% | 3,564.58 | 7% |
| 59,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 6% | 3,717 | 117.43 | 4,553.95 | 8% | 3,564.58 | 6% |
| 63,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 6% | 3,969 | 369.43 | 4,553.95 | 7% | 3,564.58 | 6% |
| 65,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 6% | 4,095 | 495.43 | 4,553.95 | 7% | 3,564.58 | 5% |
| 65,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 6% | 4,095 | 495.43 | 4,553.95 | 7% | 3,564.58 | 5% |
| 76,000 | Two Person | PPO | 3,599.57 | 5% | 4,788 | 1,188.43 | 4,553.95 | 6% | 3,564.58 | 5% |
| **3,294,000** | | | **207,743.45** | **6.3%** | **207,522** | **(221.45)** | **215,561.48** | **6.54%** | **205,430.07** | **6.24%** |

Resolution No. 2016 - 254
November 1, 2016

**RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF YPSILANTI:**

That the City Council Meeting be adjourned, on call, by the Mayor or two (2) members of Council.

OFFERED BY: _____

SUPPORTED BY: _____

YES: NO: ABSENT: VOTE: