

Prebys: Asks about the change.

Craven: States that the owners originally had no preference for the colors of the windows. After some consideration the owners stated they would only do the windows if they could be done in white, which is the colors of the windows now. It is not a great reflection of what the windows were originally and it is what the client wants and they feel very strongly about it. States that if the windows are not white then they do not want them which would be a shame since it would be much more historically accurate with an aluminum-clad window.

Schmiedeke: States she feels quite strongly that the dark sash is appropriate for the building and that the white is not.

Prebys: States that he concurs with Commissioner Schmiedeke that the dark sash is much more appropriate and that if they choose not to put the windows in that is their prerogative.

Stevenson: Asks Commissioner Prebys if he would be more comfortable for the windows to remain as-is than going to the aluminum-clad windows if they were to be white.

Schmiedeke: States this an opportunity to make an improvement in the building.

Stevenson: States that the building will remain as is and asks if they (the Commission) will be alright with that. Asks Commissioner Rupert for his thoughts.

Rupert: States that he fully concurs with the other Commissioners.

Craven: Asks how to explain this to the client when they express frustration over the motion.

Prebys: States they should say that the sashes were originally darker and that they would like to see the windows returned to something more appropriate when they are doing such a major overhaul.

Craven: States that bronze it is then (after motion has been made.)

Stevenson: Asks for a motion to be made.

Motion: Schmiedeke: (second: Rupert) moves to deny the amendment to the application for the previously approved windows at 114 N. River.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards:
N/A

322 Maple St.

**Application is for foundation & porch repair.*

Applicant: Sandra Marshall –Owner, Kyle Davis – Contractor – Present

Discussion: Stevenson: States that the application was tabled at the last meeting because the application gave some information about the scope of work but they had some additional questions, especially regarding the porch and wanted to speak with the applicants.

Davis: Asks if they were talking about the side stone porch or the front wood porch.

Prebys: States that the application made it unclear what was happening to the porch which is why they would like to be walked through the application.

Davis: States it is a ground level porch that will be removed to do some foundation work. States that all the brick there that they can save will be put back. Also the roof on the porch will not be removed.

Marshall: States that when she bought the house she was told the East side of the foundation was failing so that is an absolute must. She was also told by a structural engineer that within five years the west and south walls would also not be viable.

Prebys: Asks if the porch will be removed.

Davis: States that it will not be just the slab.

Prebys: States they will be removing the three sections and replacing them with block.

Motion: Prebys: (second: Stevenson) moves approval of the work at 322 Maple to include foundation repair to require replacing certain areas of the foundation with block and removing and replacing slab on the west side of the house. Also including the scope of services referred to in the application.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards:

#1 – Use property for original purpose or provide compatible use with minimal alteration.

#2- Do not destroy original character. Do not remove or alter historic material or features.

NEW BUSINESS

512 N. Hamilton

**Application is for painting and fence installation*

Applicant: Grant Dybdahl and Alexander Morosach - Present

Discussion: Prebys: Asks for clarification on the accents.

Dybdahl: States that it would be for doors and other accents.

Pettit: States that from their discussions earlier that he has no problems with anything.

Motion: Prebys (second: Davis) moves to approve the application for work at 512 N. Hamilton to include painting and fence installation as described in the application. The building shall be cleaned in the gentlest means possible before painting.

Secretary of the Interior's Standards:
#10 – New work shall be removable.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

42 E. Cross

**Application is for sign installation.*

Applicant: Mark Teachout – Present

Discussion: Davis: Asks if it will be the same white as the trim color that has recently been completed.

Teachout: States they asked the sign maker to do that.

Rupert: Asks if the entrance to the store will be in the same place.

Teachout: States that it will be. Nothing will be changing except for the sign. Both of the storefronts need no signs but only one of the mock ups were completed in time for this meeting. States he has brought both.

Prebys: States he has no problem with the sign for 42 E. Cross and that none of the other Commissioners do either.

Pettit: Asks about the differences between the two options on the mock ups.

Teachout: States the option chosen has depth to the sign and the other one would be painted directly on the sign.

Motion: Davis (second: Prebys) moves approval of the application for work at 42 E. Cross for the installation of a non-illuminated dimensional letter sign. The sign will be mounted with aluminum studs on the mortar joints and fixed with epoxy. The letters will be vinyl and painted in 3m white to match

existing trim color and the sign will need to be viewed and approved by the planning department.

Secretary of the Interior Standards:

#9- Contemporary designs shall be compatible and shall not destroy significant original material.

#10-New work shall be removable.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

Stevenson: States that the Commission will allow the application for the sign at 44 E. Cross to be administratively approved by staff once it has been submitted to the building department.

Motion: Rupert (second: Prebys) moves to authorize the administrative approval of the "Cream and Crumb" sign and 44 E. Cross St.

216 N. Washington

**Application is for carriage house restoration.*

Applicant: Ron Rupert – contractor, present

Discussion: Rupert: States the work will done on the carriage house. They managed to convince the owner to salvage the house instead of tearing it down. They will stabilize the building, repair and replace the east wall, repair the roof, replace the floor and prime and paint the exterior. They will be working with the building inspector with the details.

Davis: Asks if the building will look exactly the same.

Rupert: States that is correct except much improved with like for like replacement.

At this point, Ron Rupert, excused himself and left the room for commission deliberation.

Motion: Prebys (second: Pettit) moves to approve the application for work at 216 N. Washington to include using like materials to repair and replace the east wall, the roof, interior rafters and the floor. The exterior shall be painted and cleaned using the gentlest means possible.

Secretary of the Interior Standards:

#5-Preserve distinctive features.

#6-Repair, don't replace. Replacements shall match original.

#10-New work shall be removable.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion Carries.

STUDY ITEMS-none

Administrative Approvals

117 E. Cross – Re-roof

Motion: Rupert (second: Schmiedeke) moves to approve the administrative approval at 313 E. Cross street.

Secretary of the Interior Standards:

#9-Contemporary designs shall be compatible and shall not destroy significant original material.

#10-New work shall be removable.

Unanimous. Motion Carries.

OTHER BUSINESS

Property Monitoring

508 N Hamilton- the HDC discussed the storm damage to the house and suggested that staff send a letter about the storm damage.

301 W Cross- the HDC requested that staff send a letter to the owner regarding the LED lights that were installed on the structure.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS –none

HOUSEKEEPING BUSINESS

Approval of the minutes of February 14, 2017

Motion: Rupert (second: Davis) moves to approve the minutes as submitted.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Pettit (second: Davis) moves to adjourn the meeting.

Approval: Unanimous. Motion carries.

MEETING ADJOURNED at 8:26pm.